

## Winters, Shelley

---

**From:** Gamache, Christopher  
**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2017 10:52 AM  
**To:** Winters, Shelley; Barker, Louis; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment. No ATV dramatically impacts our available funding

This does put a dramatic spin on it for us. we will still continue with the abandonment process and contracting Mae for the historical reviews. But, this has been proposed to be an extension of the Ammonoosuc Recreation Trail, with all of its uses. The section from Littleton south was not bought with TE funds and is a summer OHRV trail. This was supposed to be a continuation of that. The funding we have available for development is mostly from OHRV accounts. We cannot use that funding if they are prohibited.

This section of corridor may need to be addressed with Claremont, Haverhill and potentially Farmington. All of these communities want Federal action to deal with the TE clauses.

Chris

---

**From:** Winters, Shelley  
**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2017 10:49 AM  
**To:** Gamache, Christopher; Barker, Louis; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment. No ATV dramatically impacts our available funding

Correct, I should have elaborated if not just for the benefit of Mr. Dorsett.

---

**From:** Gamache, Christopher  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 5:50 PM  
**To:** Winters, Shelley; Barker, Louis; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment. No ATV dramatically impacts our available funding

No. No motorized wheeled use. Snowmobile still ok

Sent with Good ([www.good.com](http://www.good.com))

---

**From:** Winters, Shelley  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 4:34:16 PM  
**To:** Gamache, Christopher; Barker, Louis; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment

Correct, TE funds = no motorized use without a waiver from Federal Highway...so no motorized use!

---

**From:** Gamache, Christopher  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 4:13 PM  
**To:** Barker, Louis; Winters, Shelley; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment

TE means no Motorized Wheeled Vehicles, correct? If so, cannot use the account I am planned on to fund these. Chris

Sent with Good ([www.good.com](http://www.good.com))

---

**From:** Barker, Louis  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 4:05:03 PM  
**To:** Gamache, Christopher; Winters, Shelley; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment

Littleton to Northumberland May 14, 1999. TE Project 13085. (Littleton-Whitefield)

Lou Barker  
Railroad Planner  
NH Department of Transportation  
Bureau of Rail & Transit  
603-271-2425

---

**From:** Gamache, Christopher  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2017 12:10 PM  
**To:** Winters, Shelley; 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Cc:** Barker, Louis  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment

We can write up a personal service agreement for that amount without having to get G&C approval. Lou/Shelley can you check on what funding was used to buy the Littleton to Whitefield section it will help me figure out an account to use. I saw something that mentioned TE funding, which would not be good.

Chris

---

**From:** Winters, Shelley  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 18, 2017 8:19 AM  
**To:** 'Andrew Dorsett'  
**Cc:** Gamache, Christopher; Barker, Louis  
**Subject:** RE: Littleton Rail Abandonment

Andrew,  
I am copying Chris Gamache of DRED because I believe DRED was going to contract with Mae and then NHDOT would reimburse DRED half of Mae's expenses. Chris—does this sound like a plan? If so, can you send Mae and email authorizing her to begin work as so indicated in her attached scope of work? I'll have Lou or someone in our office prepare a map or offer up what we have in GIS and see if it will suffice.

Thanks all,  
Shelley

---

**From:** Andrew Dorsett [<mailto:adorsett@townoflittleton.org>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 12, 2017 11:55 AM  
**To:** Winters, Shelley  
**Subject:** FW: Littleton Rail Abandonment

Hi Shelley,

Hope you're doing well.

From Jack's email below and Mae's earlier message it sounds like Mae's scope works with NH Division of Historical Resources, and that there may be some preliminary research that could be started. Will the NHDOT be entering into a contract with Mae, and if so what is your estimate for NHDOT authorizing this portion of the work to proceed?

Also Mae requested a digital map of the project area, is this something that NHDOT may have available for her use?

Please let me know if I can do anything to assist.

Best Regards,

Andrew Dorsett  
Littleton

---

**From:** Jack E. Dodd [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 11, 2017 4:14 PM  
**To:** Andrew Dorsett <[adorsett@townoflittleton.org](mailto:adorsett@townoflittleton.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Littleton Rail Abandonment

Hi Andrew,

Laura Black has advised that she believes Mae is on the right track with her approach to this project. Laura says that Mae is “familiar with survey submission requirements, and other examples of similar work providing additional information of a selected section of a railroad where the original form was lacking in detail”. Thus, based on Mae’s email of earlier today, her sense of the timing in which she can do the survey etc. seems reasonable.

Hope all is well in Littleton!

Jack

**From:** Andrew Dorsett  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 11, 2017 2:22 PM  
**To:** Jack E. Dodd  
**Cc:** Winters, Shelley  
**Subject:** Littleton Rail Abandonment

Hi Jack,

Just checking in to see what Laura Black from NH Division of Historic Resources indicated about Mae’s proposal. Did she think it would satisfy their requirements.

Thank you,

Andrew Dorsett  
Littleton Town Manager  
125 Main Street, Suite 200  
Littleton, NH 03561  
Phone: (603) 444.3996  
Fax: (603) 444.1703  
[adorsett@townoflittleton.org](mailto:adorsett@townoflittleton.org)