

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Tue, 8 Feb 2022 14:28:04 +0000
To: Hackley, Patrick
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Yes – that would be great.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:25 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks Derek. Hope to catch up again soon with you and Brad.

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:12 AM

To: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>

Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Patrick –

The response was sent to you and Commissioner Stewart.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:10 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks for forwarding your response to Ms. Pastoriza. Please let me know who else at DNCR received your forward. I would like to share it with our Parks, Bureau of Trails and DOJ staff.

Thanks,

Patrick

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Hackley, Patrick
Sent: Tue, 8 Feb 2022 14:24:50 +0000
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks Derek. Hope to catch up again soon with you and Brad.

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:12 AM
To: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Patrick –

The response was sent to you and Commissioner Stewart.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202
c (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223
www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:10 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks for forwarding your response to Ms. Pastoriza. Please let me know who else at DNCR received your forward. I would like to share it with our Parks, Bureau of Trails and DOJ staff.

Thanks,

Patrick

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we

also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c(b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Tue, 8 Feb 2022 14:11:59 +0000
To: Hackley, Patrick
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Patrick –

The response was sent to you and Commissioner Stewart.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hackley, Patrick <Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:10 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks for forwarding your response to Ms. Pastoriza. Please let me know who else at DNCR received your forward. I would like to share it with our Parks, Bureau of Trails and DOJ staff.

Thanks,

Patrick

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Hackley, Patrick
Sent: Tue, 8 Feb 2022 14:10:09 +0000
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thanks for forwarding your response to Ms. Pastoriza. Please let me know who else at DNCR received your forward. I would like to share it with our Parks, Bureau of Trails and DOJ staff.

Thanks,

Patrick

Patrick D. Hackley, Director
Department of Natural & Cultural Resources
Division of Forests & Lands
172 Pembroke Road
Concord, NH 03301
(603) 271-2214
www.nh.gov/nhdf/



protecting, promoting and managing New Hampshire's natural resources since 1909

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we

also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Tue, 8 Feb 2022 14:04:12 +0000
To: Kris pastoriza
Bcc: Stewart, Sarah; Patrick.D.Hackley@dncr.nh.gov; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream
Attachments: Revised Southern Connector Request.pdf, nash-stream-easement.pdf

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.



STATE AND FEDERAL LAND PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

PLEASE PRINT ALL INFORMATION

Section A (To Be Completed by BOT District Supervisor for Club's District)

Property Name: West side rd area Nash Stream

Town Property In: Stark NH

State Land

Federal Land

- DNCR Forest and Lands
- DNCR Parks and Recreation
- NH Department of Environmental Services
- NH Fish and Game Department
- NH Department of Transportation
- Other: _____

- National Park Service
- US Army Corps of Engineers
- US Fish and Wildlife Service
- US Forest Service
- Other: _____

Property Contact: M Machinist

Title: Regional Forester

Telephone: 603 788-4157

FAX: _____

Section B (To Be Completed by Club Trail Administrator)

Remember to ATTACH a DETAILED MAP and other required supporting documents

PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Why is the project necessary, purpose, benefits, etc.):

Utilize approx 1100 feet of existing snowmobile Primary corridor 5 for combined ATV/Snowmobile/Hiking route.
The property lies between existing ATV route and ATV route D and the newly constructed ATV exclusive bridge
spanning NASH Stream. This parcel already in recreational use will complete the tie between both North
South and East West ATV system adding to the economy of the entire Southern Coos county area and
more importantly eliminate the need potentially forever for ATV access to NASH Stream Road and areas.

A. If the project involves bridges, please describe them (how many, span, proposed materials, width, etc.):

NO Bridges as a 100ft bridge spanning NASH Stream has been erected already

B. Are there wetlands in the project area? Yes No

If yes, will the project require a Trails Notification be filed with DES? Yes No

If yes, describe how the club will deal with them:

NOTE: As reviewed with NH Dept of Forest and NH Bureau of Trails representatives appropriate trail culvert(s) and trail upgrades in materials will be done.

While at this time no permitting appears to be required under existing rules/statutes, should that change the NG Trails club is prepared to navigate any and all compliance needs as prescribed by rule or statute.

C. Describe existing roads/trails that are in the project area (uses, etc.):

Existing State of NH trails and logging roads known as West-side road and ATV trail C/D

The area adjacent to the 1100 foot area of consideration to the North and South are already maintained as snow corridor 5.

The only other alternative to link the existing ATV route would be to open a portion of NASH Stream road which is an undesirable option.

D. Are there any wildlife concerns in the project area such as deer yards? Yes No

If yes, describe here:

Additional Comments:

The area in question is of course owned by the State of NH and maintained for the public's use and benefit. Currently 8 mos of the year this small link is literally mostly unused by the public due to gates and bars. While not only completing the link of ATV trail system to all areas North/South/East/West and the struggling economic areas of all Coos county, the opening of this link will ensure appropriate motorized and non-motorized recreation to all of NASH Stream

Date: 01 MAR 2021

Signature of Club Trail Administrator:


1. EMPEROR - 603-477-3333

Date: _____

Signature of BOT District Supervisor: _____

STATE LAND RECORD

TRACT NASH STREAM FOREST # 3

GRANTOR State of NH

DATE OF DEED 8/4/89

DATE OF RECORD 8/7/89

GRANTEE US Forest Service
Dept. of Agriculture
Washington, DC 20250

COUNTY Coos

BOOK 752 PAGE 252

CONSIDERATION \$3,950,000. — 100/AC

CHARACTER Conservation Easement

ACRES

TREAS. BOOK PAGE

TOWN

DESCRIPTION

CONSERVATION EASEMENT DEED

RECORDED
AUGUST 7, 1989
BOOK 752
PAGE 252

THIS DEED made this 4th day of August, 1989, by and between the STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, Concord, New Hampshire (hereafter "State"), the Grantor, and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Washington, District of Columbia (hereafter "United States"), the Grantee. The State and the United States are collectively referred to as the "Parties".

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the "New Hampshire Forest Management Initiatives Act of 1988", 102 Stat. 1805, (hereafter the "Act") authorizes and directs the Secretary of Agriculture to acquire certain lands and interests in land located in the State of New Hampshire; and,

WHEREAS, under the New Hampshire Land Conservation Investment Program, the State of New Hampshire is the owner of certain lands known as the "Nash Stream Tract" which are the subject of the Act; and,

WHEREAS, under the laws of the State of New Hampshire (R.S.A. 477:45, et seq), a conservation easement constitutes an interest in land; and,

WHEREAS, the Parties mutually seek to assure through the conveyance of this conservation easement the perpetual public use and protection of the Nash Stream Tract with primary management emphasis being the sustained yield of forest products consistent with the traditional uses of the land, including public access, and the conservation of other resource values; and,

WHEREAS, the acquiring Federal agency is the Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture. The mailing address of the acquiring agency is United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of \$3,950,000 and other good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the State hereby grants, with warranty covenants, unto the United States of America this conservation easement. The terms and conditions of this easement are covenants running with the land constituting a perpetual servitude thereon.

I. The Property.

The Nash Stream Tract, which is the subject of this easement and is hereafter referred to as the "easement area", is described in Exhibit A attached to and made a part of this instrument. The Parties acknowledge that some portions of the

Nash Stream Tract which are referenced in the Act are not subject to this easement and those portions are expressly excepted from the description of the easement area as set forth in Exhibit A.

II. The Use of the Easement Area.

A. Subdivision: The easement area shall not be subdivided or disposed of as smaller tracts.

B. Time Limitations on Rights and Privileges Conveyed to Third Parties:

No lease, contract or other right shall be granted or renewed for a term in excess of five (5) years except for public roads or utilities.

C. Allowed Uses of the Property: Allowed uses are those expressly reserved by the State for purposes of natural resource management, public recreation, and public roads and public utilities. Uses which are not expressly reserved by the State shall be prohibited by the State and deemed acquired by the United States. Reserved uses are as follows:

1. Public Recreation Reservations. The construction, operation, and maintenance of the following facilities and appurtenant structures is permitted: campsites, trails (including cross country ski trails and snowmobile trails), internal access roads, picnic areas, boat launches, trailhead parking areas, visitors' center, and ranger station.

2. Public Roads and Utilities. The installation, operation, and maintenance of public roads or public utilities may be granted by the State only with the prior written approval of the Forest Service. For the purposes of this instrument, internal roads constructed, operated and maintained by the State and which merely provide access within the property and do not provide for through travel are not considered public roads.

3. Existing recreation residences. Notwithstanding parts II-B and II-E-1 of this instrument, individual recreation residences which existed on the date of this instrument are permitted, provided that nothing in this instrument shall be construed as limiting the power of the State to limit the size, number or duration of existing permitted uses, to charge a fee for, or to terminate such uses.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

4. Natural Resources Management. Management for multiple uses consistent with the purposes and provisions of this instrument, including watershed, fish and wildlife, recreation, scenic, education and research, timber management as provided in part II-D herein, and sand and gravel resources. A dam at or in the immediate vicinity of the location of the old Nash Bog Pond dam may be constructed, maintained, and operated only for fish and wildlife management and recreational purposes at no expense to the United States. Specifically excepted from this easement are those rights held by Rancourt Associates, Inc., and its successors and assigns, for the extraction of earth and granular fill material as set forth in a certain deed dated October 27, 1988 and recorded in the Coos County Registry of Deeds in Volume 737 Page 840. For purposes of this conveyance, multiple uses means the harmonious and coordinated management of the various resources, each with the other, without impairment of the productivity of the land, with consideration being given to the relative values of the various resources, and not necessarily the combination of uses that will give the greatest dollar return or the greatest unit output.

D. Management and Use of Timber Resources: Timber resources shall be managed on a sustained yield basis, provided:

1. The land base for the determination of sustained yield is the easement area. Departures from sustained yield on the easement area may be made only in the event of natural catastrophe, fire, disease or insect infestation. For purposes of this conveyance, sustained yield means the achievement and maintenance in perpetuity of an approximately even amount of annual or regular periodic wood yield consistent with multiple use objectives without impairment of the productivity of the land and forest resources.

2. No logging shall occur on slopes greater than 35% or on areas above 2700 feet in elevation.

3. Clearcuts shall not exceed 30 acres in size. Larger areas may be clearcut only with the prior written approval of the Forest Service and only as needed to harvest timber damaged by natural catastrophe, fire, disease, or insect infestations. For the purposes of this conveyance, clearcut means the removal of all or virtually all merchantable timber in a single cutting. No clearcut harvest may be made adjacent to a previous clearcut regeneration harvest area until the average height of the regeneration from the previous cut is at least 15 feet. Except for departures as provided in Part II-D.1 of this easement, within any ten (10) year period, no more than 15 percent of the total easement area may be clearcut.

4. Logging on those areas near streams, ponds, or public highways is subject to the provisions of New Hampshire R.S.A. 224:44-a, except as further defined or restricted as follows:

(a) Any future amendments to R.S.A. 224:44-a shall apply to the easement area, except that amended terms shall not apply if those terms are less restrictive than as they existed as of January 1, 1989.

(b) For purposes of R.S.A. 224:44-a, Nash Stream from the breached dam downstream to the southern boundary of the easement area, and Pond Brook from Trio Pond to the confluence with Nash Stream, shall both be considered "navigable rivers".

(c) There shall be a buffer area of 150 feet around Whitcomb Pond, Trio Pond, and Little Bog Pond in which there shall be no timber harvesting, except that trees and vegetation may be cut in the buffer area as necessary for the construction and use of recreation facilities as reserved in Part II-C.1 of this easement and except that, with the prior written approval of the Forest Service, timber damaged by natural catastrophe, fire, disease, or insect infestation may be harvested. The buffer area shall be measured from the ordinary high water mark of the ponds.

(d) Any prior written consents by any state official or agent allowed under the provisions of R.S.A. 224:44-a as they may affect the easement area shall require approval in writing in advance by the Forest Service.

5. At all times, logging shall be conducted in conformance with the current applicable federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to the abatement of erosion and water pollution, including the use of best management practices prescribed for given activities.

E. Prohibited Uses of the Property. Although the State remains the fee owner of the property, uses which are not reserved by the State are prohibited of the State and deemed acquired by the United States. Without limiting the scope of the rights acquired by the United States or the scope of use prohibitions, the following prohibitions on common land uses in the area are enumerated for purposes of clarity:

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

1. Residential uses, all forms whether temporary or permanent, including but not limited to, residential housing, condominiums, including time share condominiums, vacation homes, cabins, camps, and group housing;

2. Ski areas, ski lodges, ski lifts, resorts, outfitting establishments;

3. Landfills, dumps, storage areas for materials other than temporary storage of materials produced from the property;

4. Garages and warehouses, except as necessary for the actual administration and management of the property.

5. Mineral, oil, and gas, and related operations and developments, subject to rights outstanding in third parties and except for the sand and gravel rights reserved to the State in Part II-C-4.

F. Access.

1. The State and its assigns shall assure the public access to and use of the easement area.

2. The State and its assigns may reasonably restrict and regulate access and use in order to provide for public safety and prudent resource utilization and protection.

3. The State may charge reasonable fees for public entry and use of the easement area. All fees shall be fair and equitable, taking into consideration the direct and indirect costs to the State, the benefits to the recipient, the public policy or interest served, the comparable recreation fees charged by the Forest Service on the White Mountain National Forest, the comparable fees charged for similar uses of State-owned land and facilities, the economic and administrative feasibility of fee collection and other pertinent factors.

III. General Provisions.

A. This easement is subject to all valid existing rights of record existing at the time of conveyance.

B. This easement shall be enforceable in law or equity by the parties. The State shall bear the costs of any enforcement action and any costs of restoration necessitated by the violation of any of the terms of this easement. The State waives any defense of laches, estoppel or prescription. The

State shall not be liable for violation of the terms of the easement caused by Acts of God.

C. The easement area shall be administered and managed by the State in accordance with State laws and regulations and the terms of this easement. The State retains all responsibilities and shall bear the costs and liabilities related to the ownership, operation, upkeep and maintenance of the property, unless and until agreed to otherwise in writing by the Parties. Subject to outstanding rights in third parties, the State shall receive all revenues derived from the management and use of the property, unless and until agreed to otherwise in writing by the Parties.

D. The Forest Service shall administer this easement on behalf of the United States. The United States has an affirmative right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement which is not reserved by the State. The Forest Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest, shall administer this easement subject to such delegations of authority as may be forthcoming from time to time by the Secretary of Agriculture, or his subordinate officials. The Forest Service shall have the right to enter upon the easement area at any time for purposes of administration of this easement. Any Forest Service concurrences required under this easement shall be in writing and may be subject to such terms and conditions as the Forest Service may prescribe.

E. This easement shall be construed so as to effect the conservation purposes for which it was acquired by the United States. Ambiguities will be resolved in a manner which best effect the purposes of the New Hampshire Forest Management Initiatives Act of 1988.

F. The State shall hold harmless, indemnify, and defend the United States and its agents from all liabilities, including attorney's fees, arising from death or injury to any person resulting from any act, omission, condition or other matter related to or occurring on or about the property regardless of cause, or from liabilities otherwise arising from the management or administration of the property, except as regards those liabilities arising from the acts or omissions of the United States and its agents.

G. The easement area shall not be sold or conveyed to any entity without first having afforded the United States or its assigns a right to exercise a right of first refusal to

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

acquire the land, in fee or additional partial interests. The State shall serve written notice of a proposed sale or conveyance to the Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest, and the United States Government or its assigns shall have 18 months from the date of receipt of the notice to acquire the land or interests therein. In such event, the State agrees to sell such lands or partial interests at no more than appraised fair market value as determined by an average of two appraisals performed by appraisers agreed upon by the Parties.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the rights hereby granted unto the United States forever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representative of the State of New Hampshire has hereunto set his hand and seal on the day and year first written above.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

By: *William G. Abbott*
WILLIAM G. ABBOTT

Its EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
NEW HAMPSHIRE LAND CONSERVATION
INVESTMENT PROGRAM

State of *New Hampshire*
County of *Merrimack*

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged on behalf of the State of New Hampshire before me this 4th day of August, 1989 by William G. Abbott, Executive Director of the New Hampshire Land Conservation Investment Program.

John W. Burt
Notary Public/Justice of the Peace

Exhibit A

THE PROPERTY

I. Property in Columbia:

1. That property conveyed by Natural Dam Pulp and Paper Company, Inc., to Rushmore Paper Mills, Inc., dated August 15, 1963, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 477, Page 327.
2. Certain property described as Lot 1, Range 4, of the Lots and Ranges in said Town of Columbia and being a portion of the premises described and conveyed in a warranty deed from Nelson Bunnell to Groveton Papers Company, dated July 9, 1965, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 490, Page 344.
3. That property conveyed by Ada K. Marshall et al. to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 12, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 495, Page 301.
4. Parcel 1 as it is described in a deed from Clyde Shallow to Groveton Papers Company, dated December 20, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 503, page 165.
5. Parcel 2 as it is described in a deed from Clyde Shallow to Groveton Papers Company, dated December 20, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 503, Page 165.
6. That property conveyed by Louis Grandmaison to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 21, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 495, Page 199.
7. That property conveyed by Louis Grandmaison to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 24, 1966, recorded Coos Deeds, Volume 497, Page 177 subject to a right of way created by instrument dated November 14, 1962, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 475, Page 24.
8. That property conveyed by Green Acre Woodlands, Inc. to Diamond International Corporation, dated July 30, 1973, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 554, Page 646.
9. That property situated in Columbia conveyed by James J. Phelan, et al., Trustees of Connecticut Valley Lumber Company, to Groveton Paper Co., Inc., dated September 29, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 204, Page 273, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Co., Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131, and part of land conveyed by Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company, August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1988

RECEIVED

II. Property in Odell:

1. Parcel 1 as described in a deed from Henry R. Reed, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 22, 1904, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 124, Page 138, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

III. Property in Stark

1. Property described in deed from Percy Lumber Company to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated April 30, 1917, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 181, Page 351, (being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184); excepting and reserving that portion of the property described as Lots Nos. 103, 96, 38 and 54 and excepting and reserving Lot 5 and that portion of Lot 6 north of the railroad in Range 2 and subject to rights of way conveyed to the United States of America, dated December 8, 1969, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 526, Page 251, and dated September 18, 1939, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 304, Page 279, and to George G. Steady, April 18, 1977, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 596, Page 66.
2. Property described in deed from Paul Cole, et al. to Groveton Paper Company, Inc., dated March 6, 1936, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 279, Page 279, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 187.
3. Property described in deed from Town of Stark to Groveton Paper Company, Inc., dated April 15, 1939, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 301, Page 341, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 187.
4. Property described in deed from Frank G. Blake to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 6, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 120, Page 235, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

5. Property described in deed from G. W. Smith to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated November 14, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 151, Page 102, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds Volume 311, Page 184.
6. Property described in deed from Henry Pike to Groveton Paper Company, dated July 15, 1919, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 194, Page 235.
7. Property described in deed from Lester D. Fogg to Groveton Papers Company, dated September 6, 1945, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 340, Page 190.
8. Property described in deed from Frank E. Moses to Groveton Papers Company, dated March 30, 1948, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 361, Page 54.
9. Property conveyed by Richard Emery to Diamond International Corporation, dated December 14, 1982, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 654, Page 571.
10. Property described in deed from Charles A. Cole to Groveton paper Company, Inc., dated June 2, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 198, Page 246 (being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation, dated January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131 and by deed of Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189) excepting therefrom conveyance to Town of Stark, dated March 24, 1959, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 442, Page 44 and easements to Public Service Company of New Hampshire, dated August 22, 1946 and August 22, 1947, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 350, Page 212 and Volume 359, Page 134.
11. Property described in deed from Santina E. McVetty to Groveton Papers Company, dated May 25, 1951, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 384, Page 297 (Corrective Deed recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 653, Page 587).
12. Property described in deed from Robert Poisson to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 30, 1960, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 453, Page 192.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1988

RECEIVED

IV. Property in Stratford:

1. Property described in a deed from Town of Stratford to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 15, 1959, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 444, Page 362.
2. Property described in a deed from Andrew Jackson, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 5, 1908, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 138, Page 137, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
3. Property described in a deed from R. L. Lumber Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, Inc., dated July 24, 1972, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 549, Page 112.
4. Property described in a deed from Andrew Jackson to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 7, 1908, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 138, Page 136 being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
5. Parcel 1 as it is described in a deed from Zephir Riendeau to Groveton Papers Company, dated May 22, 1961, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 459, Page 247.
6. Property described in a deed from Town of Stratford to Groveton Papers Company, dated September 21, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 502, Page 238.
7. Property described in a deed from Lynam A. Jackson to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated January 15, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 120, Page 215, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
8. Property described in a deed from George W. Smith to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated November 28, 1916, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 178, Page 372, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

9. Property described in a deed from Fred N. Wheeler to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 27, 1912, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 156, Page 72, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
 10. Property described in a deed from Royal M. Cole, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 2, 1912, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 158, Page 356, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
 11. Property described in a deed from Zephir Riendeau to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 12, 1960, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 451, Page 293.
 12. Property described in a deed from Connecticut Valley Lumber Company to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated October 8, 1918, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 190, Page 344, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
 13. Land in Stratford described in a Deed from James Phelan, et al. to Groveton Papers Company, Inc., dated September 20, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 204, Page 273, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation, dated January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131 and from Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189.
- V. Excepting and reserving from the above, certain earth and granular materials situated within the property described herein and certain easements relating to the right to enter upon the property and remove such materials for a period of seven (7) years from the date hereof, all as more specifically described in an agreement between the State of New Hampshire and Rancourt Associates of New Hampshire, a New Hampshire general partnership, dated August 24, 1988. All earth and granular materials and easement rights excepted and reserved herein were conveyed by Diamond International Corporation to Rancourt Associates of N.H., Inc. by deed dated October 27, 1988 and recorded in Coos County Registry of Deeds Book 737, Page 840.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Fri, 4 Feb 2022 13:15:50 +0000
To: Kris pastoriza
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Good morning -

Just wanted to let you know I have received this email and will respond in the near future.

Thanks – Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202
c: (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223
www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

Sent: Tue, 1 Feb 2022 18:16:03 +0000
To: Kris pastoriza
Subject: RE: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thank you for your email. I will get back with you on this.

Take Care - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

From: Kris pastoriza
Sent: Fri, 25 Feb 2022 17:40:52 -0500
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

Thank you.

Kris

On Fri, Feb 25, 2022 at 5:39 PM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
The Forest Service intends to respond.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 5:38:08 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

Dear Supervisor Ibarguen,

if the Forest Service does not intend to respond to this request, tell me.

Kris

On Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 9:04 AM Kris pastoriza <krispastoriza@gmail.com> wrote:

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service

White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Thu, 24 Feb 2022 15:52:49 +0000
To: Carrier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH; Hankens, Sarah -FS
Cc: Detzel, James -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Morgan –

Before placing in Mercury send me a clean word document so we can finalize collaboratively.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Carrier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH <Morgan.Carrier@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 10:46 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good Morning, Sarah -

Would you please send me a copy of the letter when you get a moment and I'll get to work putting it in Mercury. Thank you!

Morgan

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:28 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Carrier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH

<Morgan.Currier@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Sarah. Please work with Morgan to place in Mercury for my signature. Morgan can email and mail Kris Pastoriza the letter. Before doing this I will make some phone calls to the DNCR Commissioner and State Forester.

Derek



Derek Ibarquen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarquen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 1:11 PM

To: Ibarquen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarquen@usda.gov>

Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>

Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Derek-

James and I have reviewed and discussed the additional edits by OGC and feel that they are appropriate in responding to Ms. Pastoriza. The additional "through trails" paragraph goes beyond her specific questions but addresses her points pertaining this topic well. Please let me know what your thoughts are regarding letter, if you want a meeting with us to discuss, OGC is available to meet as well, so we can continue to work towards finalizing a response by 2/25. I would suggest if you proceed with letter as drafted, we CC the appropriate State employees.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)

sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:21 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attached is a suggested re-draft of the letter. Happy to talk more if you wish.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
☎ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings,

The draft response to Ms. Pastoriza's 2/14 letter is attached for your review. We appreciate any feedback or edits to provide additionally clarity or value in our response. Please let us know if you'd like to meet again, we can make ourselves available.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Sarah, in thinking about this response I kept it short and general – let me know what you think if more narrative or detail should be added.

James

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 12:29 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good afternoon-

Thank you for your time earlier today to discuss Nash. James will continue to draft a response letter and I plan on sharing with OGC & RO staff for review late Friday or Tuesday.



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:06 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

(b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>;

Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication

Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the majority of the page content below the header information.

(b)(5)

Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM

To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>

Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Currier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH
Sent: Thu, 24 Feb 2022 15:45:58 +0000
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS; Hankens, Sarah -FS
Cc: Detzel, James -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good Morning, Sarah -

Would you please send me a copy of the letter when you get a moment and I'll get to work putting it in Mercury. Thank you!

Morgan

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:28 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Currier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH <Morgan.Currier@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Sarah. Please work with Morgan to place in Mercury for my signature. Morgan can email and mail Kris Pastoriza the letter. Before doing this I will make some phone calls to the DNCR Commissioner and State Forester.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 1:11 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>

Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Derek-

James and I have reviewed and discussed the additional edits by OGC and feel that they are appropriate in responding to Ms. Pastoriza. The additional "through trails" paragraph goes beyond her specific questions but addresses her points pertaining this topic well. Please let me know what your thoughts are regarding letter, if you want a meeting with us to discuss, OGC is available to meet as well, so we can continue to work towards finalizing a response by 2/25. I would suggest if you proceed with letter as drafted, we CC the appropriate State employees.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:21 PM

To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attached is a suggested re-draft of the letter. Happy to talk more if you wish.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)

□ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings,

The draft response to Ms. Pastoriza's 2/14 letter is attached for your review. We appreciate any feedback or edits to provide additionally clarity or value in our response. Please let us know if you'd like to meet again, we can make ourselves available.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Sarah, in thinking about this response I kept it short and general – let me know what you think if more narrative or detail should be added.

James

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 12:29 PM

To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good afternoon-

Thank you for your time earlier today to discuss Nash. James will continue to draft a response letter and I plan on sharing with OGC & RO staff for review late Friday or Tuesday.



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:06 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest
c (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI

[<vince.vukelich@usda.gov>](mailto:vince.vukelich@usda.gov)

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication
Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)



Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>;
eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>;
Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202
c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Wed, 23 Feb 2022 23:28:04 +0000
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS
Cc: Detzel, James -FS; Currier, Morgan - FS, CAMPTON, NH
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Sarah. Please work with Morgan to place in Mercury for my signature. Morgan can email and mail Kris Pastoriza the letter. Before doing this I will make some phone calls to the DNCR Commissioner and State Forester.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 1:11 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Cc: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Derek-

James and I have reviewed and discussed the additional edits by OGC and feel that they are appropriate in responding to Ms. Pastoriza. The additional "through trails" paragraph goes beyond her specific questions but addresses her points pertaining this topic well. Please let me know what your thoughts are regarding letter, if you want a meeting with us to discuss, OGC is available to meet as well, so we can continue to work towards finalizing a response by 2/25. I would suggest if you proceed with letter as drafted, we CC the appropriate State employees.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:21 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attached is a suggested re-draft of the letter. Happy to talk more if you wish.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
☎ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings,

The draft response to Ms. Pastoriza's 2/14 letter is attached for your review. We appreciate any feedback or edits to provide additionally clarity or value in our response. Please let us know if you'd like to meet again, we can make ourselves available.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Sarah, in thinking about this response I kept it short and general – let me know what you think if more narrative or detail should be added.

James

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 12:29 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good afternoon-

Thank you for your time earlier today to discuss Nash. James will continue to draft a response letter and I plan on sharing with OGC & RO staff for review late Friday or Tuesday.



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c:(b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:06 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen,

Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>;

Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication

Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the majority of the page content below the header information.

(b)(5)



Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>
Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c(b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <c(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Sent: Tue, 22 Feb 2022 21:20:31 +0000
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS; Detzel, James -FS; Iburguen, Derek -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Attachments: jvRe_Nash Stream State Forest_.docx

Attached is a suggested re-draft of the letter. Happy to talk more if you wish.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
📱 (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Iburguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings,

The draft response to Ms. Pastoriza's 2/14 letter is attached for your review. We appreciate any feedback or edits to provide additionally clarity or value in our response. Please let us know if you'd like to meet again, we can make ourselves available.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest
c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 1:53 PM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Sarah, in thinking about this response I kept it short and general – let me know what you think if more narrative or detail should be added.

James

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 12:29 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good afternoon-

Thank you for your time earlier today to discuss Nash. James will continue to draft a response letter and I plan on sharing with OGC & RO staff for review late Friday or Tuesday.



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:06 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>

Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>;
Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
<vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication
Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)

A large, solid black rectangular redaction box covering the majority of the page's content below the header and subject line.

(b)(5)

Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS

Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; estill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>
Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest, N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

This responds to your inquiry in reference to your response dated February 14th, 2022, you requested:

“In conclusion:

- *Please state whether the USFS concurs with the Ropes and Gray memorandum.*
- *If the USFS does not concur with the Ropes and Gray Memorandum, please provide it’s interpretation of the easement as it relates to ATV use, and specifically its interpretation of section II-C.*
- *If the USFS does not concur with the Ropes and Gray memorandum, please state whether the USFS denies responsibility for its concurrence (III-D) on the siting of through trails.”*

The Forest Service, was the acquiring agency, on behalf of the United States of America, with respect to the 1989 Nash Stream conservation easement purchased from the State of New Hampshire. It has been the Forest Service’s longstanding position that, under the terms of the conservation easement, the State of New Hampshire reserved the discretion to ban, authorize, or regulate ATV trails within the conservation easement area. Conversely, the Forest Service acquired no role in the exercise of the State’s discretion in this regard. Our position has not changed, notwithstanding the 2020 Ropes and Gray memorandum which we previously reviewed.

Also, you raise the subject of so-called “through trails.” The conservation easement at II(C)(1) makes no distinction between a “through trail” and a “non-through trail,” when it comes to the discretion reserved by the State. We note that II(C)(2) does make a distinction between internal roads that do not provide for “through travel” and “public roads.” In the context of public roads, the Forest Service does have approval authority under the conservation easement terms. That provision, though, has no bearing on the reserved authority of the State with respect to recreational trails. In granting the conservation easement the State of New Hampshire reserved “Public Recreation” (Section II C 1.) This reservation allows for the construction, operation and maintenance of trails. We interpret the use, and construction of ATV trails consistent with this reservation. The United States role as defined in Section III-D, allows for “a right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement not reserved by the State”.

As such, we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails. Nor is there a requirement for of written concurrence from the Forest Service for ATV trails on the lands encompassed by the conservation easement. If you have concerns about ATV trails on the conservation easement area, those should be directed to the State.

Commented [VJOMW1]: As previously mentioned, this “through trail” issue was not addressed by Gene’s opinion and seems to have come from a [legislative?] subcommittee per 2/14 letter. Hard to follow origin. Perhaps you know. Don’t know what else FS could say on this though. Seems obvious that trails aren’t public roads under the CE, and CE doesn’t distinguish between a through trail and a internal trail.

Commented [VJOMW2]: Don’t know if you want to state this but seems like a logical statement to make given the underlying concerns driving the attempt to get FS involved.

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS
Sent: Thu, 17 Feb 2022 17:29:09 +0000
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Olson, Shawn -FS; Detzel, James -FS; Ibarguen, Derek -FS; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Good afternoon-

Thank you for your time earlier today to discuss Nash. James will continue to draft a response letter and I plan on sharing with OGC & RO staff for review late Friday or Tuesday.



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c:(b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 9:06 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest
c: (b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI

[<vince.vukelich@usda.gov>](mailto:vince.vukelich@usda.gov)

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication
Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)



Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarquen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarquen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM

To: Ibarquen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarquen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>;
eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>;
Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Sent: Thu, 17 Feb 2022 14:05:34 +0000
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS; Detzel, James -FS; Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

My Outlook calendar is up to date if that helps...

Otherwise:

Today: I'm busy these times: 11:30 – 12:30 eastern
1:00 – 2:00 eastern (but if need be I can leave this call)
2:30 – 3:30 eastern

Tomorrow (Friday): I don't have anything scheduled that I can't move around.

Thanks - vv



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:22 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>; Detzel, James -FS <james.detzel@usda.gov>; Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c(b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>;

Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication
Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)

A large, solid black rectangular redaction box covers the entire body of the email, obscuring all text and graphics that would otherwise be present.

(b)(5)

Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS

Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; estill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>
Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest, N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Hankens, Sarah -FS
Sent: Thu, 17 Feb 2022 13:21:58 +0000
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Cc: Olson, Shawn -FS; Detzel, James -FS; Iburguen, Derek -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Greetings Vincent & John,

Thank you for your support and coordination with a response. With the response timeframe, it would be good for James & I to touch base with you and John this week, if possible. We can be available today or tomorrow after 1000, or early next week as needed.

Thank you,



Sarah Hankens (she/her)
Technical & Public Services Staff Officer

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

c:(b)(6)
sarah.hankens@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 9:13 AM
To: Iburguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Pardi, Nicholas - FS <nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Attorney-Client Communication
Privileged and Confidential

(b)(5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the majority of the lower half of the page.

(b)(5)



Take care – vince v.



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:34 PM

To: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Pardi, Nicholas - FS

<nicholas.pardi@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>

Subject: Re: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Shawn -

Yes I have asked Sarah to draft a response in coordination with you and OGC. They may need advice as they draft it before providing it for review.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:39 PM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS

Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Pardi, Nicholas - FS

Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks Derek – Do I understand correctly that your staff will draft a response letter for RO/OGC review?

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM

To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>

Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>

Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>;
eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>;
Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern

Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Wed, 16 Feb 2022 23:12:26 +0000
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Olson, Shawn -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Lutz, Dean -FS; Hankens, Sarah -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Agree – and am not sure how that happened because when we responded to this individual’s FOIA request last Spring/Summer that OGC opinion was completely redacted.

Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202
c: (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223
www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 6:05 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Lutz, Dean -FS <dean.lutz@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks for the email. Would be good to see what Tom Wagner stated, but if you can’t find it that’s probably not a problem. That is, it seems that FS will be responding more to criticism of OGC’s memo (that was publicly disclosed) than what Tom stated.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
☎ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Lutz, Dean -FS <dean.lutz@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

John – We will have to do some searching for the letter from Tom Wagner to the state of NH. I haven't seen that letter - paper or electronic.

The letter quotes me from an email I sent recently to Kris Pastoriza. That email is attached.

Thanks – Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 1:25 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Lutz, Dean -FS <dean.lutz@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Can you please provide the 9/25/01 letter from Forest Sup Wagner to NH, which is referred to in some of the letters?

Also Derek, you're quoted too in one of the letters. Can you provide the full correspondence that contained the quote?



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office

Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
📱 (b)(6) Mobile
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:32 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (Mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:36 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

FYI –

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI
Sent: Wed, 16 Feb 2022 23:05:18 +0000
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS; Olson, Shawn -FS
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI; Lutz, Dean -FS; Hankens, Sarah -FS
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Thanks for the email. Would be good to see what Tom Wagner stated, but if you can't find it that's probably not a problem. That is, it seems that FS will be responding more to criticism of OGC's memo (that was publicly disclosed) than what Tom stated.



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
☎ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 3:00 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Lutz, Dean -FS <dean.lutz@usda.gov>; Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

John – We will have to do some searching for the letter from Tom Wagner to the state of NH. I haven't seen that letter - paper or electronic.

The letter quotes me from an email I sent recently to Kris Pastoriza. That email is attached.

Thanks – Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest
p: 603-536-6202
c: (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov
71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223
www.fs.fed.us
  

Caring for the land and serving people

From: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 1:25 PM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>; Lutz, Dean -FS <dean.lutz@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream

Can you please provide the 9/25/01 letter from Forest Sup Wagner to NH, which is referred to in some of the letters?

Also Derek, you're quoted too in one of the letters. Can you provide the full correspondence that contained the quote?



John M. Vandlik
Senior Counsel
Milwaukee Office
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
☎ 414-297-3276 (Voice)
☎ (b)(6) (Mobile)
✉ John.Vandlik@usda.gov

From: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 5:32 PM
To: Vandlik, John - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <john.vandlik@usda.gov>
Cc: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High



Vince Vukelich
Associate Regional Attorney
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Agriculture
626 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 601
Milwaukee, WI 53202
' 414-297-3786 (Voice)
' (b)(6) (mobile)
' 844-288-3359 (Fax)
✉ vince.vukelich@usda.gov

From: Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:36 AM
To: Vukelich, Vincent - OGC, Milwaukee, WI <vince.vukelich@usda.gov>
Subject: FW: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

FYI –

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Hankens, Sarah -FS <sarah.hankens@usda.gov>; Olson, Shawn -FS <shawn.olson@usda.gov>
Cc: Mcaloon, Lynn - FS <Lynn.Mcaloon@usda.gov>; Sjostrom, Joshua - FS <Joshua.Sjostrom@usda.gov>
Subject: ACTION BY 2/25 - Nash Stream
Importance: High

Sarah – Please see the email below and the attached letter to the FS and request OGC support in coordination with Director Shawn Olson. I would like to respond with a letter to Kris Pastoriza by 2/25.

Shawn – Including you here so I can point out the email below also went to Greg Smith at the WO.

Lynn – This went to Chuck Henderson of Senator Shaheen’s office as well. Please let him know we are working through a response regarding the easement.

Thanks - Derek



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest
p: 603-536-6202
c: (b)(6)
derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223
www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2022 9:04 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>
Cc: Matt Leahy <mleahy@forestsociety.org>; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour <mlatour@tnc.org>; Sarah <Sarah.L.Stewart@dncr.nh.gov>; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:
Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised

Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor

Forest Service
White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>

Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Ibarguen, Derek -FS
Sent: Fri, 25 Feb 2022 22:39:46 +0000
To: Kris pastoriza; Smith, Greg- FS; Taylor, Suzanne -FS
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

The Forest Service intends to respond.

Derek

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2022 5:38:08 PM

To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Smith, Greg- FS <Gregory.Smith4@usda.gov>; Taylor, Suzanne -FS <suzanne.taylor@usda.gov>

Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

Dear Supervisor Ibarguen,

if the Forest Service does not intend to respond to this request, tell me.
Kris

On Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 9:04 AM Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)> wrote:

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not

have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service

White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive
Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Kris pastoriza
Sent: Fri, 25 Feb 2022 17:38:08 -0500
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS; Smith, Greg- FS; Taylor, Suzanne -FS
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream

Dear Supervisor Ibarguen,
if the Forest Service does not intend to respond to this request, tell me.

Kris

On Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 9:04 AM Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)> wrote:
I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, "Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash

Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?"

The United States' role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal's consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the 'Forest Service' should or should not have been checked off on the State's trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service

White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

From: Kris pastoriza
Sent: Tue, 15 Feb 2022 09:04:03 -0500
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS; Smith, Greg- FS; eestill@fs.fed.us; Taylor, Suzanne -FS
Cc: Matt Leahy; sarnold@outdoors.org; Megan Latour; Sarah; Chuck_Henderson@shaheen.senate.gov
Subject: Re: [External Email]Nash Stream
Attachments: 11-11-20 AMC Memo.pdf, 9-20-20_AMC_ATV Trails Memorandum.pdf, DRED 1994 Nash Stream Overview.pdf, Letter to FS re Nash Stream February 2022.pdf, AMC,TNC SPNHF NSF letter 2020.pdf, DNCR Response to K. Pastoriza 1.7.2022.pdf, 1-14-22 response to DNCR.pdf, Request for meeting re. Nash Stream altered.pdf

I request that the appropriate counsel at USFS render a legal opinion in response to the questions raised by me and others, concerning the easement terms for Nash Stream State Forest. N.H. (see first, AMC Memo. and letter to FS re Nash Stream.)

Thank you,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.

On Tue, Feb 8, 2022 at 9:04 AM Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Pastoriza,

In response to your inquiry below, in which you asked, “Since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. (Revised Southern Connector Request) have had ‘Forest Service’ checked off on the

application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service? And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?”

The United States’ role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal’s consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the ‘Forest Service’ should or should not have been checked off on the State’s trail proposal form.

Thank You – Derek Ibarguen



Derek Ibarguen (he/him/his)
Forest Supervisor
Forest Service

White Mountain National Forest

p: 603-536-6202

c: (b)(6)

derek.ibarguen@usda.gov

71 White Mountain Drive

Campton, NH 03223

www.fs.fed.us



Caring for the land and serving people

From: Kris pastoriza <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2022 5:06 AM
To: Ibarguen, Derek -FS <derek.ibarguen@usda.gov>; Brown, Brooke - FS <brooke.brown@usda.gov>
Subject: [External Email]Nash Stream

[External Email]

If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic**;
Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Derek and Brooke,

since the Forest Service is an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, should this application for another ATV trail in Nash Stream S.F. ('Revised Southern Connector Request') have had 'Forest Service' checked off on the application, and a copy have sent to the Forest Service?

And could the same be said of the Kelsey Notch Trails and West Side Trails?

Kris Pastoriza

Easton, N.H.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.



November 11, 2020

Mr. Jared Chicoine, Chair
New Hampshire Council of Resources and Development
107 Pleasant Street, Johnson Hall, 3rd Floor
Concord, NH 03301

RE: CORD Assessment of ATV/UTV use of Kelsey Notch Trail

Dear Mr. Chicoine and CORD members:

We appreciate the time, attention and diligence you have shown in examining the Kelsey Notch Pilot Trail in the Nash Stream Forest. Our organizations have provided comments to you in the past, both independently as well as together.

We submitted comments on August 20, 2020 regarding the failure of the Kelsey Notch Trail to comply with many of the statutory requirements of RSA 215-A. Further, on September 21, 2020, a memo was provided to CORD by the Appalachian Mountain Club outlining the different legal and regulatory standards applied to snowmobiles and ATVs/UTVs in New Hampshire.

The purpose of this letter is not to reargue points made in our preceding communications. Rather, we would like to take the opportunity to respond to the October 26, 2020 letter from the NH Off Highway Vehicle Association ("the Association") and their conclusion that "CORD's statutory duties require" that the Kelsey Notch Pilot Trail remains open. We also question the Association's statement that "the clear intent of the parties to the Easement is to allow the use of ATVs/UTVs in the Nash Stream Forest."

The clear intent of the Easement is perpetual public use consistent with the traditional uses of the land.

The Association argues that the intent of the parties to the Easement was to allow ATV use in the Nash Stream Forest. This version of events is not supported by the historical record nor the clear and plain language of the Easement.

An important component of conservation easements are the recitals – the rest of the easement flows from them. The recitals or "whereas" clauses set forth background information that helps to frame the legal and factual basis for an easement. In the case of the Nash Stream Forest Conservation Easement, the relevant section states that:

WHEREAS, the parties mutually seek to assure through the conveyance of this conservation easement the perpetual public use and protection of the Nash Stream Tract

with primary management emphasis being the sustained yield of forest products consistent with traditional uses of the land, including public access, and the conservation of other resource values.

A clear decision was made to continue the management policy of the previous landowner and continue to exclude ATV use, as it was not considered low impact, dispersed, or traditional nor consistent with the Vision for the Forest. The original 1995 Nash Stream Management Plan, which took the many stakeholders involved in the protection of the Nash Stream Forest more than 6 years to complete continued to allow traditional recreational uses of the land and did not allow ATV/UTV access.

If the intent of the parties to the Easement was to include ATV's as a traditional use of the land, either the Easement - which notably does list the traditional recreational uses of the property - or the original management plan would have included their use. ATV use on the property was considered at the time of purchase, as well as during the creation of the first management plan, and was not included as an appropriate use.

If ATV use was "expressly permitted by the terms of the Easement", then it would follow that the founding documents and management plan would have allowed their use. The absence of reference to ATV restrictions does not mean they were intended to be allowed.

CORD's statutory duties

We take issue with the Association's conclusion that CORD's statutory duties require that it keep the Kelsey Notch Trail open. In the case of the Nash Stream Forest, CORD's statutory obligations are quite clearly articulated.

Role of Council of Resources and Development

The Council on Resources and Development (CORD) was created to provide a forum for interagency cooperation to assure consistency in implementation of established policies relating to the environment, natural resources, and growth management issues under [RSA 162-C](#).¹ Specifically, per RSA 162-C:6, II & III, CORD has management and administrative responsibilities for state lands purchased under the LCIP.

II. In addition to its other responsibilities, the council shall manage and administer the lands acquired and funds established under the land conservation investment program under the former RSA 221-A, according to the provisions of this subdivision and consistent with agreements entered into with persons with ownership interests in such lands.

III. The council shall manage the lands acquired under the former RSA 221-A so as to preserve the natural beauty, landscape, rural character, natural resources, and high quality of life in New Hampshire. The council shall maintain and protect benefits derived from such lands and maintain public access to such lands, where appropriate.

In reviewing RSA 162-C:6, it is evident that CORD has both the statutory responsibility to ensure that LCIP lands are being managed in accordance with state law and regulations, and the authority to affect the on the ground management of these properties. There is no other agency or office of state government authorized in statute with the oversight responsibilities of these important lands, purchased using public dollars, and held in the public trust. It is critical that CORD exercise its statutory authority when

¹ <https://www.nh.gov/oep/planning/programs/cord/>

management of these lands is shown to be detrimental to those natural resources, or in clear violation of state statute.

In the specific case of Nash Stream, the Department of Natural and Cultural Resources (DNCR) is responsible for the day to day management of these lands, following an established Management Plan, and adhering to existing state statutes governing the agency and its work. If CORD finds that DNCR is not properly managing LCIP lands under its control, CORD needs to take corrective action in the interests of the state and the public interest for which the state is holding these lands.

Authority to close trails

CORD clearly has the statutory responsibility to ensure that Nash Stream management is consistent with established state statute, and the original purposes for which the LCIP acquired the land. The citizens of the state of New Hampshire invested more than \$7 million to protect and steward these lands. As the entity with fiduciary responsibility for this investment, CORD must ensure that all trails on Nash Stream are compliant with the law, and if they are not, they should not be open for use.

The State is responsible for managing the Nash State State Forest in accordance with the terms of the Conservation Easement, which is built on a commitment to a primary management emphasis “consistent with the traditional uses of the land”. Public access was intended to be low impact and dispersed, and the State has the right to reasonably restrict and regulate access to ensure prudent resource utilization and protection of all the conservation values of the property.

RSA 215-A:42,II provides that DRED may close trails if:

(a) ATV or trail bike use on the property is not in conformance with this chapter;

CORD has the statutory obligation to maintain public access to LCIP lands, “where appropriate.” Because the Kelsey Notch Trail is not in conformance with the law, as outlined in our August 20, 2020 letter and previous communications, we ask that the Council take immediate action to suspend all ATV use on the Kelsey Notch Trail.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of this important issue, and for your continued oversight of the Nash Stream Forest.

Sincerely,

Susan Arnold


Vice President for Conservation
Appalachian Mountain Club
sarnold@outdoors.org

Jim O'Brien

Director of External Affairs
The Nature Conservancy in NH
jim_obrien@tnc.org

Matt Leahy

Public Policy Manager
Society for the Protection of NH Forests
mleahy@forestsociety.org



ROPE & GRAY LLP
PRUDENTIAL TOWER
800 BOYLSTON STREET
BOSTON, MA 02199-3600
WWW.ROPEGRAY.COM

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 21, 2020 FILE: 116286-0001
TO: R. Newcomb Stillwell
FROM: Ryan S. Duerring
SUBJECT: Appalachian Mountain Club – Nash Stream Forest ATV Trail Research

In connection with the request from Susan Arnold, Vice President for Conservation of the Appalachian Mountain Club (“AMC”), with respect to (1) the Conservation Easement Deed dated as of August 4, 1989, by and between the State of New Hampshire, as grantor, and the United States of America, as grantee, a copy of which is attached (the “Easement Deed”) and (2) the legal opinion regarding the Easement Deed from Gene Alan Erl, Deputy Associate Regional Attorney in the Office of the General Counsel of the Department of Agriculture, to Paul Stockinger, Director, Lands and Minerals, Eastern Region, Forest Service, a copy of which is also attached (the “Opinion”), at your request I have reviewed the Easement Deed, the Opinion and relevant New Hampshire law. Based on my research of relevant New Hampshire law and regulations applicable to snowmobiles, all-terrain vehicles (“ATVs”) and other off-highway recreational vehicles (“OHRVs”), I conclude that the legal opinions set forth in the Opinion regarding the permitted use of use of ATVs on the tract of forest land known as the “Nash Stream Tract” and subject to the Easement Deed are inconsistent with applicable New Hampshire law.

Pursuant to paragraph II.C. of the Easement Deed, allowed uses of the Nash Stream Tract by the State of New Hampshire “are those expressly reserved by the State for purposes of natural resource management, public recreation, and public roads and public utilities” and “[u]ses which are not *expressly reserved* [emphasis added] by the State shall be prohibited.” In relevant part, the State of New Hampshire expressly reserved for public recreation “[t]he construction, operation, and maintenance of the following facilities and appurtenant structures is permitted: campsites, *trails (including cross country ski trails and snowmobile trails)* [emphasis added], internal access roads, picnic areas, boat launches, trailhead parking areas, visitors’ center, and ranger station.”¹ The Easement Deed contains no other references to trails or motorized vehicles.

The Opinion, citing the Easement Deed provisions quoted above, posits that the “mention of snowmobile trails indicates that motorized use of trails is permitted. Thus, because both

¹ Easement Deed, para. II.C.1.

accommodate motorized vehicles, a reasonable interpretation would be that snowmobile trails being of the same kind, class or nature as ATV trails could be regulated by the State.”² This conclusion is inconsistent with my research of relevant New Hampshire law. New Hampshire law clearly distinguishes among types of motorized vehicles, including distinctly separating snowmobiles from ATVs by definition in Chapter 215-A and Chapter 215-C of Title XVIII of the Revised Statutes Annotated of the State of New Hampshire.³ Further, snowmobiles are expressly excluded from the definition of OHRV⁴ and are regulated pursuant to N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-C whereas ATVs and other OHRVs are regulated pursuant to N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-A.

The New Hampshire Supreme Court has repeatedly held that “when used . . . preceding a list of specified items . . . the term “including” similarly limits the items intended to be covered . . . to those of the same type as the items specifically listed [emphasis added].”⁵ Thus, the conclusion of the Opinion that the parenthetical “(including cross country ski trails and snowmobile trails)” in the Easement Deed inherently, and without reference to any applicable law, indicates that unfettered “motorized use of trails is permitted”⁶ and therefore “snowmobile trails being of the same kind, class or nature as ATV trails could be regulated by the State”⁷ is incorrect. On the contrary, New Hampshire case law consistently holds that the use of “including” before a list of specified items limits the items intended to be covered to those of the same type of items as those specifically listed. ATVs and snowmobiles are separately defined and regulated under applicable New Hampshire law and accordingly should be considered not to be items of the same type. This view is further supported by New Hampshire’s actual practice: the State website lists approximately 6,900 miles of State sanctioned public snowmobile trails available throughout New Hampshire but a much more limited 1,200 miles of trails open for public ATV use.⁸ In light of the foregoing, the failure of the State to expressly include ATVs in the parenthetical in addition to snowmobiles indicates that the State did not intend to reserve the construction, operation, and maintenance of ATV trails as a permitted use within the Nash Stream Tract pursuant to paragraph II.C. of the Easement Deed.

² Opinion, para. 2.

³ See N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-A:1 at XIII and N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-C:1 at XV for the State’s definition of “snowmobile” and N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-A:1 at I-b for the State’s definition of “All terrain vehicle (ATV).” For the avoidance of doubt, snowmobiles and ATVs were also separately defined under New Hampshire law at the time the Easement Deed was granted by the State.

⁴ N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-A:1 at VI and N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-C:1 at XV.

⁵ *Conservation Law Found. v. New Hampshire Wetlands Council*, 150 N.H. 1, 6, 834 A.2d 193, 197 (2003). See also *Roberts v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 138 N.H. 532, 538, 643 A.2d 956, 960 (1994).

⁶ Opinion, para. 2.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ <https://www.wildlife.state.nh.us/ohrv/where-to-ride.html>

Schedule 1

Easement Deed

[Attached.]

COPY FOR YOUR
INFORMATION

NASH STREAM
CONSERVATION EASEMENT DEED

RECORDED
AUGUST 7, 1989
BOOK 752
PAGE 252 f. Nash Stream
Advisory
Council

THIS DEED made this 4th day of August, 1989, by and between the STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, Concord, New Hampshire (hereafter "State"), the Grantor, and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Washington, District of Columbia (hereafter "United States"), the Grantee. The State and the United States are collectively referred to as the "Parties".

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the "New Hampshire Forest Management Initiatives Act of 1988", 102 Stat. 1805, (hereafter the "Act") authorizes and directs the Secretary of Agriculture to acquire certain lands and interests in land located in the State of New Hampshire; and,

WHEREAS, under the New Hampshire Land Conservation Investment Program, the State of New Hampshire is the owner of certain lands known as the "Nash Stream Tract" which are the subject of the Act; and,

WHEREAS, under the laws of the State of New Hampshire (R.S.A. 477:45, et seq), a conservation easement constitutes an interest in land; and,

WHEREAS, the Parties mutually seek to assure through the conveyance of this conservation easement the perpetual public use and protection of the Nash Stream Tract with primary management emphasis being the sustained yield of forest products consistent with the traditional uses of the land, including public access, and the conservation of other resource values; and,

WHEREAS, the acquiring Federal agency is the Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture. The mailing address of the acquiring agency is United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

NOW THEREFORE, for and in consideration of \$3,950,000 and other good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the State hereby grants, with warranty covenants, unto the United States of America this conservation easement. The terms and conditions of this easement are covenants running with the land constituting a perpetual servitude thereon.

I. The Property.

The Nash Stream Tract, which is the subject of this easement and is hereafter referred to as the "easement area", is described in Exhibit A attached to and made a part of this instrument. The Parties acknowledge that some portions of the

Nash Stream Tract which are referenced in the Act are not subject to this easement and those portions are expressly excepted from the description of the easement area as set forth in Exhibit A.

II. The Use of the Easement Area.

A. Subdivision: The easement area shall not be subdivided or disposed of as smaller tracts.

B. Time Limitations on Rights and Privileges Conveyed to Third Parties:

No lease, contract or other right shall be granted or renewed for a term in excess of five (5) years except for public roads or utilities.

C. Allowed Uses of the Property: Allowed uses are those expressly reserved by the State for purposes of natural resource management, public recreation, and public roads and public utilities. Uses which are not expressly reserved by the State shall be prohibited by the State and deemed acquired by the United States. Reserved uses are as follows:

1. Public Recreation Reservations. The construction, operation, and maintenance of the following facilities and appurtenant structures is permitted: campsites, trails (including cross country ski trails and snowmobile trails), internal access roads, picnic areas, boat launches, trailhead parking areas, visitors' center, and ranger station.

2. Public Roads and Utilities. The installation, operation, and maintenance of public roads or public utilities may be granted by the State only with the prior written approval of the Forest Service. For the purposes of this instrument, internal roads constructed, operated and maintained by the State and which merely provide access within the property and do not provide for through travel are not considered public roads.

3. Existing recreation residences. Notwithstanding parts II-B and II-E-1 of this instrument, individual recreation residences which existed on the date of this instrument are permitted, provided that nothing in this instrument shall be construed as limiting the power of the State to limit the size, number or duration of existing permitted uses, to charge a fee for, or to terminate such uses.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1988

RECEIVED

4. Natural Resources Management. Management for multiple uses consistent with the purposes and provisions of this instrument, including watershed, fish and wildlife, recreation, scenic, education and research, timber management as provided in part II-D herein, and sand and gravel resources. A dam at or in the immediate vicinity of the location of the old Nash Bog Pond dam may be constructed, maintained, and operated only for fish and wildlife management and recreational purposes at no expense to the United States. Specifically excepted from this easement are those rights held by Rancourt Associates, Inc., and its successors and assigns, for the extraction of earth and granular fill material as set forth in a certain deed dated October 27, 1988 and recorded in the Coos County Registry of Deeds in Volume 737 Page 840. For purposes of this conveyance, multiple uses means the harmonious and coordinated management of the various resources, each with the other, without impairment of the productivity of the land, with consideration being given to the relative values of the various resources, and not necessarily the combination of uses that will give the greatest dollar return or the greatest unit output.

D. Management and Use of Timber Resources: Timber resources shall be managed on a sustained yield basis, provided:

1. The land base for the determination of sustained yield is the easement area. Departures from sustained yield on the easement area may be made only in the event of natural catastrophe, fire, disease or insect infestation. For purposes of this conveyance, sustained yield means the achievement and maintenance in perpetuity of an approximately even amount of annual or regular periodic wood yield consistent with multiple use objectives without impairment of the productivity of the land and forest resources.

2. No logging shall occur on slopes greater than 35% or on areas above 2700 feet in elevation.

3. Clearcuts shall not exceed 30 acres in size. Larger areas may be clearcut only with the prior written approval of the Forest Service and only as needed to harvest timber damaged by natural catastrophe, fire, disease, or insect infestations. For the purposes of this conveyance, clearcut means the removal of all or virtually all merchantable timber in a single cutting. No clearcut harvest may be made adjacent to a previous clearcut regeneration harvest area until the average height of the regeneration from the previous cut is at least 15 feet. Except for departures as provided in Part II-D.1 of this easement, within any ten (10) year period, no more than 15 percent of the total easement area may be clearcut.

4. Logging on those areas near streams, ponds, or public highways is subject to the provisions of New Hampshire R.S.A. 224:44-a, except as further defined or restricted as follows:

(a) Any future amendments to R.S.A. 224:44-a shall apply to the easement area, except that amended terms shall not apply if those terms are less restrictive than as they existed as of January 1, 1989.

(b) For purposes of R.S.A. 224:44-a, Nash Stream from the breached dam downstream to the southern boundary of the easement area, and Pond Brook from Trio Pond to the confluence with Nash Stream, shall both be considered "navigable rivers".

(c) There shall be a buffer area of 150 feet around Whitcomb Pond, Trio Pond, and Little Bog Pond in which there shall be no timber harvesting, except that trees and vegetation may be cut in the buffer area as necessary for the construction and use of recreation facilities as reserved in Part II-C.1 of this easement and except that, with the prior written approval of the Forest Service, timber damaged by natural catastrophe, fire, disease, or insect infestation may be harvested. The buffer area shall be measured from the ordinary high water mark of the ponds.

(d) Any prior written consents by any state official or agent allowed under the provisions of R.S.A. 224:44-a as they may affect the easement area shall require approval in writing in advance by the Forest Service.

5. At all times, logging shall be conducted in conformance with the current applicable federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to the abatement of erosion and water pollution, including the use of best management practices prescribed for given activities.

E. Prohibited Uses of the Property. Although the State remains the fee owner of the property, uses which are not reserved by the State are prohibited of the State and deemed acquired by the United States. Without limiting the scope of the rights acquired by the United States or the scope of use prohibitions, the following prohibitions on common land uses in the area are enumerated for purposes of clarity:

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

1. Residential uses, all forms whether temporary or permanent, including but not limited to, residential housing, condominiums, including time share condominiums, vacation homes, cabins, camps, and group housing;

2. Ski areas, ski lodges, ski lifts, resorts, outfitting establishments;

3. Landfills, dumps, storage areas for materials other than temporary storage of materials produced from the property;

4. Garages and warehouses, except as necessary for the actual administration and management of the property.

5. Mineral, oil, and gas, and related operations and developments, subject to rights outstanding in third parties and except for the sand and gravel rights reserved to the State in Part II-C-4.

F. Access.

1. The State and its assigns shall assure the public access to and use of the easement area.

2. The State and its assigns may reasonably restrict and regulate access and use in order to provide for public safety and prudent resource utilization and protection.

3. The State may charge reasonable fees for public entry and use of the easement area. All fees shall be fair and equitable, taking into consideration the direct and indirect costs to the State, the benefits to the recipient, the public policy or interest served, the comparable recreation fees charged by the Forest Service on the White Mountain National Forest, the comparable fees charged for similar uses of State-owned land and facilities, the economic and administrative feasibility of fee collection and other pertinent factors.

III. General Provisions.

A. This easement is subject to all valid existing rights of record existing at the time of conveyance.

B. This easement shall be enforceable in law or equity by the parties. The State shall bear the costs of any enforcement action and any costs of restoration necessitated by the violation of any of the terms of this easement. The State waives any defense of laches, estoppel or prescription. The

State shall not be liable for violation of the terms of the easement caused by Acts of God.

C. The easement area shall be administered and managed by the State in accordance with State laws and regulations and the terms of this easement. The State retains all responsibilities and shall bear the costs and liabilities related to the ownership, operation, upkeep and maintenance of the property, unless and until agreed to otherwise in writing by the Parties. Subject to outstanding rights in third parties, the State shall receive all revenues derived from the management and use of the property, unless and until agreed to otherwise in writing by the Parties.

D. The Forest Service shall administer this easement on behalf of the United States. The United States has an affirmative right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement which is not reserved by the State. The Forest Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest, shall administer this easement subject to such delegations of authority as may be forthcoming from time to time by the Secretary of Agriculture, or his subordinate officials. The Forest Service shall have the right to enter upon the easement area at any time for purposes of administration of this easement. Any Forest Service concurrences required under this easement shall be in writing and may be subject to such terms and conditions as the Forest Service may prescribe.

E. This easement shall be construed so as to effect the conservation purposes for which it was acquired by the United States. Ambiguities will be resolved in a manner which best effect the purposes of the New Hampshire Forest Management Initiatives Act of 1988.

F. The State shall hold harmless, indemnify, and defend the United States and its agents from all liabilities, including attorney's fees, arising from death or injury to any person resulting from any act, omission, condition or other matter related to or occurring on or about the property regardless of cause, or from liabilities otherwise arising from the management or administration of the property, except as regards those liabilities arising from the acts or omissions of the United States and its agents.

G. The easement area shall not be sold or conveyed to any entity without first having afforded the United States or its assigns a right to exercise a right of first refusal to

D.R.E.D.

AUG 1 9 1989

RECEIVED

acquire the land, in fee or additional partial interests. The State shall serve written notice of a proposed sale or conveyance to the Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest, and the United States Government or its assigns shall have 18 months from the date of receipt of the notice to acquire the land or interests therein. In such event, the State agrees to sell such lands or partial interests at no more than appraised fair market value as determined by an average of two appraisals performed by appraisers agreed upon by the Parties.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, the rights hereby granted unto the United States forever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized representative of the State of New Hampshire has hereunto set his hand and seal on the day and year first written above.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE "

By: *William G. Abbott*
WILLIAM G. ABBOTT

ITS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
NEW HAMPSHIRE LAND CONSERVATION
INVESTMENT PROGRAM

State of *New Hampshire*
County of *Merrimack*

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged on behalf of the State of New Hampshire before me this 4th day of August, 1989 by William G. Abbott, Executive Director of the New Hampshire Land Conservation Investment Program.

John W. Burt
Notary Public/Justice of the Peace

Exhibit A

THE PROPERTY

I. Property in Columbia:

1. That property conveyed by Natural Dam Pulp and Paper Company, Inc., to Rushmore Paper Mills, Inc., dated August 15, 1963, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 477, Page 327.
2. Certain property described as Lot 1, Range 4, of the Lots and Ranges in said Town of Columbia and being a portion of the premises described and conveyed in a warranty deed from Nelson Bunnell to Groveton Papers Company, dated July 9, 1965, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 490, Page 344.
3. That property conveyed by Ada K. Marshall et al. to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 12, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 495, Page 301.
4. Parcel 1 as it is described in a deed from Clyde Shallow to Groveton Papers Company, dated December 20, 1966; recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 503, page 165.
5. Parcel 2 as it is described in a deed from Clyde Shallow to Groveton Papers Company, dated December 20, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 503, Page 165.
6. That property conveyed by Louis Grandmaison to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 21, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 495, Page 199.
7. That property conveyed by Louis Grandmaison to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 24, 1966, recorded Coos Deeds, Volume 497, Page 177 subject to a right of way created by instrument dated November 14, 1962, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 475, Page 24.
8. That property conveyed by Green Acre Woodlands, Inc. to Diamond International Corporation, dated July 30, 1973, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 554, Page 646.
9. That property situated in Columbia conveyed by James J. Phelan, et al., Trustees of Connecticut Valley Lumber Company, to Groveton Paper Co., Inc., dated September 29, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 204, Page 273, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Co., Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131, and part of land conveyed by Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company, August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

II. Property in Odell:

1. Parcel 1 as described in a deed from Henry R. Reed, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 22, 1904, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 124, Page 138, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

III. Property in Stark

1. Property described in deed from Percy Lumber Company to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated April 30, 1917, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 181, Page 351, (being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184); excepting and reserving that portion of the property described as Lots Nos. 103, 96, 38 and 54 and excepting and reserving Lot 5 and that portion of Lot 6 north of the railroad in Range 2 and subject to rights of way conveyed to the United States of America, dated December 8, 1969, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 526, Page 251, and dated September 18, 1939, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 304, Page 279, and to George G. Steady, April 18, 1977, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 596, Page 66.
2. Property described in deed from Paul Cole, et al. to Groveton Paper Company, Inc., dated March 6, 1936, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 279, Page 279, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 187.
3. Property described in deed from Town of Stark to Groveton Paper Company, Inc., dated April 15, 1939, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 301, Page 341, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 187.
4. Property described in deed from Frank G. Blake to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 6, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 120, Page 235, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

5. Property described in deed from G. W. Smith to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated November 14, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 151, Page 102, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds Volume 311, Page 184.
6. Property described in deed from Henry Pike to Groveton Paper Company, dated July 15, 1919, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 194, Page 235.
7. Property described in deed from Lester D. Fogg to Groveton Papers Company, dated September 6, 1945, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 340, Page 190.
8. Property described in deed from Frank E. Moses to Groveton Papers Company, dated March 30, 1948, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 361, Page 54.
9. Property conveyed by Richard Emery to Diamond International Corporation, dated December 14, 1982, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 654, Page 571.
10. Property described in deed from Charles A. Cole to Groveton paper Company, Inc., dated June 2, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 198, Page 246 (being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation, dated January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131 and by deed of Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189) excepting therefrom conveyance to Town of Stark, dated March 24, 1959, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 442, Page 44 and easements to Public Service Company of New Hampshire, dated August 22, 1946 and August 22, 1947, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 350, Page 212 and Volume 359, Page 134.
11. Property described in deed from Santina E. McVetty to Groveton Papers Company, dated May 25, 1951, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 384, Page 297 (Corrective Deed recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 653, Page 587).
12. Property described in deed from Robert Poisson to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 30, 1960, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 453, Page 192.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1985

RECEIVED

IV. Property in Stratford:

1. Property described in a deed from Town of Stratford to Groveton Papers Company, dated June 15, 1959, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 444, Page 362.
2. Property described in a deed from Andrew Jackson, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 5, 1908, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 138, Page 137, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
3. Property described in a deed from R. L. Lumber Company, Inc. to Groveton Papers Company, Inc., dated July 24, 1972, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 549, Page 112.
4. Property described in a deed from Andrew Jackson to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 7, 1908, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 138, Page 136 being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
5. Parcel 1 as it is described in a deed from Zephir Riendeau to Groveton Papers Company, dated May 22, 1961, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 459, Page 247.
6. Property described in a deed from Town of Stratford to Groveton Papers Company, dated September 21, 1966, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 502, Page 238.
7. Property described in a deed from Lynam A. Jackson to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated January 15, 1910, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 120, Page 215, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
8. Property described in a deed from George W. Smith to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated November 28, 1916, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 178, Page 372, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.

9. Property described in a deed from Fred N. Wheeler to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated February 27, 1912, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 156, Page 72, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
10. Property described in a deed from Royal M. Cole, et al. to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated August 2, 1912, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 158, Page 356, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
11. Property described in a deed from Zephir Riendeau to Groveton Papers Company, dated January 12, 1960, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 451, Page 293.
12. Property described in a deed from Connecticut Valley Lumber Company to Odell Manufacturing Company, dated October 8, 1918, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 190, Page 344, being part of land conveyed by Odell Manufacturing Company to Groveton Papers Company, dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 184.
13. Land in Stratford described in a Deed from James Phelan, et al. to Groveton Papers Company, Inc., dated September 20, 1920, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 204, Page 273, being part of land conveyed by Groveton Paper Company, Inc. to Coos Realty Corporation, dated January 1, 1926, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 236, Page 131 and from Coos Realty Corporation to Groveton Papers Company dated August 14, 1940, recorded at Coos Deeds, Volume 311, Page 189.
- V. Excepting and reserving from the above, certain earth and granular materials situated within the property described herein and certain easements relating to the right to enter upon the property and remove such materials for a period of seven (7) years from the date hereof, all as more specifically described in an agreement between the State of New Hampshire and Rancourt Associates of New Hampshire, a New Hampshire general partnership, dated August 24, 1988. All earth and granular materials and easement rights excepted and reserved herein were conveyed by Diamond International Corporation to Rancourt Associates of N.H., Inc. by deed dated October 27, 1988 and recorded in Coos County Registry of Deeds Book 737, Page 840.

D.R.E.D.

AUG 16 1989

RECEIVED

Schedule 2

Opinion

[Attached.]




United States
Department of
Agriculture

Office of the
General
Counsel

Southern Region-Milwaukee Office
310 W. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 200W
Milwaukee WI. 53203-2240
Telephone: (414) 297-3774
FAX: (414) 297-3763

TO: Paul Stockinger
Director, Lands and Minerals
Eastern Region, Forest Service
FILE: F&L 15 (GEN)

FROM: Gene Alan Erl 
Deputy Associate Regional Attorney

SUBJECT: Nash Stream Easement

This is in response to your request for an opinion on whether the State of New Hampshire may permit the use of all terrain vehicles (ATV'S) on the Nash Stream Forest. The United States holds a conservation easement over the property by virtue of a deed from the State, dated August 4, 1989. We understand the State is in the process of revising its management plan for the area. In response to public requests, it is considering such use.

The Nash Stream Conservation Easement Deed is a so-called reserved interest deed. This means all interests in the property were conveyed, except for those expressly reserved by the grantor. As pertinent here, the State, as grantor, reserved "public recreation" uses, including trails and specifically the... "construction, operation and maintenance of... snowmobile trails..." (deed, para. II. C and II. C. 1) The mention of snowmobile trails as a subset of trails indicates that motorized use of trails is permitted. Thus, because both accommodate motorized vehicles, a reasonable interpretation would be that snowmobile trails being of the same kind, class or nature as ATV trails could be regulated by the State.

The public access provision of the deed, paragraph II. F, also gives to the State the discretion to "reasonably restrict and regulate access and use." This seems directly relevant as to whether the State may regulate ATV recreational use of trails on the easement area. Finally, the multiple use provision of the deed, paragraph II. C. 4, seems broad enough to give the State discretionary regulatory authority over determining how the public may use the trail and road system.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, we are of the opinion that the State may

ban/allow/regulate public ATV use of trails and roads for recreational purposes. However, we think it would be more difficult to conclude that off-trail or off-road (i.e., dispersed) ATV use by the public has been reserved by the State.

cc: James Snow

Deputy Assistant General Counsel
Natural Resources Division, OGC

Thomas G. Wagner
Supervisor, White Mountain NF

Nash Stream

November, 1994

AN OVERVIEW OF THE NASH STREAM FOREST

ACQUISITION

The Nash Stream Forest is a unique parcel of land in Northern New Hampshire. Its acquisition in 1988, through a collaborative effort between the state of New Hampshire, the U.S. Forest Service, The Nature Conservancy, The Trust for New Hampshire Lands, and The Society for the Protection of New Hampshire Forests is equally unique, and serves as a milestone in state, private and federal cooperation.

The diversity of the groups represented in this effort is almost as diverse as the wildlife that exists within the Nash Stream Forest and the topography of the land itself. Yet over an eighteen-month period, representatives from each of these groups worked together, to negotiate an

arrangement which all felt was in the best interest of the land and the people who use it.

MULTIPLE USE STRESSED

All of the groups involved in the purchase and future management of the Nash Stream Forest recognized the importance of protecting the Forest from development, as well as the importance of continuing to use the land in a "multiple-use" manner—for education and research; as a key watershed area; for fish and wildlife; recreation; scenic qualities; and as a sustainable timber resource. These mutual concerns led to the successful purchase of the property, and to a gubernatorially-appointed Advisory Committee to focus public input and provide technical expertise.

THE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Since December, 1989, this Committee has been hard at work, holding public listening sessions to gather input, working with a Technical Committee to review research on the past and present use of the Nash Stream Forest, and developing a working Management Plan. This final Plan will serve as a model of environmentally sound public land stewardship so that future generations may enjoy this unique property.

GATHERING PUBLIC INPUT

As has been done throughout the development of the draft Management Plan, we continue to seek public input from any group or individual interested in the Nash Stream Forest. Your input will help us formulate the final Management Plan, which will ultimately determine the future use of the Nash Stream Forest. For more information about the impact of public input on the Management Plan, see the article on page 6.



Whitcomb Pond, Little Bog (Fourteen and a Half) Pond and Lower Trio Pond in the Nash Stream Forest.

**Nash
Stream NEWS**

is published by
New Hampshire's
Department of Resources and
Economic Development,
Division of Forests and
Lands.

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS About The Nash Stream Forest

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE NORTHERN FOREST AND THE NASH STREAM FOREST?

The Nash Stream Forest is a 39,601 acre tract owned by the state of New Hampshire, managed by the Department of Resources and Economic Development, with a Conservation Easement held by the United States of America. The tract lies within a four-state region known as the Northern Forest that stretches from the coast of Maine, across northern New Hampshire and Vermont into New York, totaling 26 million acres. The Northern Forest is one of the largest expanses of continuously forested land in the nation with about 85% in private ownership. Forest-based economies, recreation, and environmental diversity are traditional to the area as are clean air and water.

The breakup of Diamond International Co. lands in 1988 led to both state acquisition of the Nash Stream Forest and national concern about the future of the Northern Forest lands. Congress authorized the U.S. Forest Service to study Northern Forest issues in cooperation with a four-state Governors' Task Force. Congress later created the Northern Forest Lands Council in 1990 to continue the work begun by the Task Force. The Council's report was released in the fall of 1994.

WILL THERE BE A FEE TO USE THE NASH STREAM FOREST?

Although allowed by the Conservation Easement, there are no plans to charge a fee for public entry or general use of the Nash Stream Forest.

WILL THE PROPERTY BE OPEN TO MOTOR VEHICLES?

Yes. Traditional vehicle access into the Forest is recommended in the Plan. The main gate will be opened each spring when road conditions allow for access by conventional motor vehicles to the Main Road (11.1 miles) and Fourteen and a Half Road (3.3 miles), and closed in early December. All other interior roads will be gated and maintained for controlled access to keep maintenance costs and safety risks down, to minimize disturbance to wildlife, and to provide for non-motorized recreation opportunities.

WILL THERE BE A VISITORS' CENTER OR GATE KEEPER AT THE ENTRANCE?


No. There are no plans to build a visitors' center nor is a gate keeper for the entrance road recommended in the Management Plan. Visitor information will be made available at the entrance as well as at the North Country Resource Center in Lancaster and the DRED office in Concord.

WILL THERE BE HANDICAPPED ACCESS?

Reasonable accommodations will be made to provide access to individuals with disabilities. Contact the Regional Forester, North Country Resource Center in Lancaster at (603) 788-4157.

CAN I USE MY ATV OR TRAIL BIKE AT NASH STREAM?

No. Snowmobiles are the only OHRVs permitted on roads and trails specifically designated for their use; there will be no off-trail, cross country use. Mountain bicycles are allowed on established roads and trails unless otherwise posted.



WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?

The Forest Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest (WMNF) is responsible for administering the Conservation Easement on behalf of the United States. The role of the Forest Service is to ensure that the terms and conditions of the Easement are satisfied and does not include active involvement with management. The WMNF staff serve as advisors to the state and provide assistance when needed, primarily with management support and technical advice.

ARE THERE ANY THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES ON THE PROPERTY?

There are 5 rare plant species identified on the property in as many locations. They are: Black Crowberry, Marsh Horsetail, Three-forked Rush, Broad-lipped Twayblade, and Millet-grass. Four of the five are listed as threatened by the NH Native Plant Protection Act. The other, Three-forked Rush, is relatively rare but is not state-listed. All of these plants occur within designated natural preserve areas.

No federally listed animal species are known to breed on the property. Peregrine Falcons and Bald Eagles nest within 20 miles of the property and may frequent the Forest from time to time. Several state listed animal species occur or potentially occur on the property. Common Loons nest regularly and Northern Harriers have nested in some years. Lynx and Marten may occur as transients if not residents.

WILL HUNTING AND TRAPPING BE ALLOWED?

Yes. Hunting and trapping will be permitted in accordance with state law.

WILL THERE BE ANY NEW (HIKING) TRAILS?

Only modest increases in the trail system are under consideration, such as adding a hiking loop via a short connector between the Percy Peak Trail and an old logging road (north of the Peak) that follows Long Mountain Brook down to Nash Stream. A Nash Stream Trails Advisory Group is recommended in the Management Plan to assess the current trail system, its condition and use, and recommend trail improvements. It is recommended that the Trails Advisory Group consist of representatives of hiking, dog sledding, cross country skiing, bicycling, hiking and snowmobiling to ensure adequate representation of these user groups.

WILL CAMPING BE ALLOWED?

Camping is not currently available. By department policy, camping is not allowed on any state

forest or park where overnight camping facilities are not available. The Management Plan does not recommend development of a campground or camping facilities. However, the Plan leaves open the possibility of future backcountry camping along selected hiking trails, subject to the availability of staff and funds for proper monitoring and maintenance.

ARE THERE PLANS TO STOCK FISH?

Yes. Stocking will occur where natural spawning is poor or non-existent. Lower Trio Pond, Little Bog Pond, and possibly Whitcomb Pond will be stocked annually with brook trout. Until the status of the wild trout population in Nash Stream can be determined, stocking of hatchery brook trout in the mainstem will continue. Nash Stream is unlikely to support a recreation fishery in the near future without an annual stocking program due to a current lack of pool habitat in the stream.

WILL THERE BE A CATCH-AND-RELEASE FISHERIES PROGRAM?

Fisheries management will emphasize natural populations of fish species consistent with habitat capabilities of the ponds and streams. Special fishing regulations such as catch-and-release, minimum fish lengths, and fishing gear restrictions may be implemented to protect spawning stock in order to maintain wild populations of brook trout.

HOW MUCH OF THE FOREST WILL BE NATURAL PRESERVE OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED?

About 46% (18,339 acres) of the Forest is considered ecologically significant, fragile or sensitive and will be preserved or under restricted management. Much of this area coincides with boundaries of areas on which the Conservation Easement prohibits logging (10,665 acres). Protection will be accomplished by several means as follows:

Natural preserves (8,113 acres) are areas of uncommon ecological significance that encompass 9 different natural communities and 1 pond located primarily on the side slopes and mountain tops of Sugarloaf, Whitcomb and Long Mountains and Percy Peaks. There will be no intentional disturbances to these areas.

Preserve buffers (5,115 acres) are lands surrounding natural preserves with soils and topography capable of serving as shock absorbers to protect natural preserves. Management activities will be limited in preserve buffers.

A corridor (515 acres) of pure softwood forest forms a natural drainageway connecting the natural preserves and buffer areas on Whitcomb and Long Mountains. This corridor is located just west of Little Bog Pond.

A 150 foot zone around each pond is protected from logging by the Conservation Easement. These zones total 55 acres.

Other high elevation sites above 2,700 feet elevation where logging is prohibited by the Conservation Easement and not otherwise protected total 49 acres. Other steep slopes of 35% or more where logging is prohibited by the Conservation Easement and not otherwise protected total 925 acres. Other wet, rocky or otherwise fragile soils not otherwise protected total 3,050 acres. And, other fragile mountain tops below 2,700 feet elevation total 516 acres.

<i>Natural Preserves and Other Protected Areas</i>	
DESIGNATION	ACRES
Natural Preserves	8,113
Natural Preserve Buffers	5,116
Corridor	515
150 ft. Pond Buffers	55
Other High Elevation >2,700 ft.	49
Other Mountain Tops <2,700 ft.	516
Other Steep Slopes >35%	925
Other Group II Soils	3,050
TOTAL	18,339

WHAT ARE CONTROL AREAS AND WHY ARE THEY NECESSARY?

One control area will be established in each natural community type under timber management for the purpose of comparing unmanaged (control) areas to ecologically similar areas subjected to logging. This provides a means of assessing the impact of timber management on ecological resources called for in the "Vision".

Although established under different criteria, control areas will also complement natural preserves because they will help preserve, for study, natural communities not represented in natural preserves. In this manner, control areas will help satisfy the "Management Vision" that calls for *"The system of core natural areas will include representatives of the full range of ecological communities..."*.

WHY ARE MOST OF THE NATURAL PRESERVES HIGH ELEVATION ECOSYSTEMS?

High elevation sites, more than any other locations, qualify for natural preserve designation by existing department standards. High elevation sites (above 2,700 feet elevation) remain the least impacted by human activity and contain rare elements or

exemplary natural communities that have retained most, if not all, of their natural character, and/or contain features of scientific and/or educational interest. A total of 8,113 acres of the Forest qualify as natural preserve, of which 8,099 acres are at high elevations on which the Conservation Easement prohibits logging.

HOW DOES THE EASEMENT AFFECT TIMBER MANAGEMENT?

The Conservation Easement protects and conserves resources with a primary emphasis on the sustained yield of forest products. Logging is prohibited on 27% (or 10,665 acres) of the forest which consists of steep slopes (2,462 acres), high elevation (8,148 acres), and buffers (55 acres) around Lower Trio Pond, Whitcomb Pond and Little Bog (Fourteen and a Half) Pond.

The Easement also requires that timber be managed on a sustained yield basis; clearcuts be no larger than 30 acres; clearcuts total less than 15% of the total easement area in any ten year period; logging on areas near streams, ponds and public highways are subject to the provisions of state law; logging shall be conducted in conformance with current federal and state laws and regulations, including use of "best management practices" for erosion control and other activities.

HOW MUCH OF THE FOREST WILL BE MANAGED FOR TIMBER?

More than half (52%) of the Nash Stream Forest will be managed under a multiple-use, sustained yield timber management program. Occasional and restricted timber cutting will be allowed on another 22% of the forest (e.g. buffers, corridors, Group II soils) but only to enhance non-timber values such as wildlife habitat or recreation resources. The remainder of the property is considered ecologically sensitive or protected from logging by the Conservation Easement.

HOW SOON WILL THE FIRST STATE TIMBER HARVEST TAKE PLACE?

It is hoped that the first commercial timber sale will be made within two years of formal adoption of the Management Plan. However, the immediate potential for significant sawlog harvests is low. A 1988 timber cruise identified only 11% (3,140 acres) of forest as sawtimber size (≥ 9.6 inches in diameter) with limited commercial value because it is widely scattered. However, there are significant widespread opportunities for commercial thinning operations over many areas, and since the Forest is restocking through growth, there is a bright future for long-term yield of timber products.

Q & A's (continued)

WILL THERE BE CLEARCUTTING?

Yes. Clearcutting is allowed by the Conservation Easement and the "Management Vision", but with restrictions. The practice will be used only when other cutting methods will not achieve timber and wildlife management goals and forest conditions defined in the "Vision."

WILL THE NASH BOG DAM BE REBUILT?

There were mixed views at the 1990 public listening sessions on whether or not to rebuild the dam. After the dam breached in 1969, a new dam was proposed at a cost of just under \$3.5 million in 1974 dollars. Lack of state and federal funding at the time caused the proposal to be shelved. The conservation easement would allow the dam to be rebuilt, at or in the immediate vicinity of the old Nash Bog Pond Dam, for fish and wildlife and recreation purposes only. However, the Management Plan does not call for rebuilding the dam.

WILL LOCAL COMMUNITIES BE PAID IN LIEU OF TAXES?

Yes. State and federal land reimbursement is authorized by RSA 219:32 which states "...any town in which national forest land and land held by the state for operation and development as state forest land are situated...may apply...for the payment of an amount not exceeding the taxes for all purposes which such town might have received from taxes on said lands...". The

amount of "taxes on said lands" is determined annually by the NH Department of Revenue Administration based on a formula. This amount is then reduced by payments towns receive from federal distributions generated from timber cuttings on the national forest system. Only White Mountain National Forest towns (Stark) receive this payment. For tax years 1990 and 1991, the state's payment, distributed to the towns of Stratford, Columbia, Stark and the unincorporated place of Odell, totaled just under \$110,000. Federal distributions for the same period totaled just under \$26,000.

HOW CAN I VOLUNTEER AS A SUPPORTER OF THE NASH STREAM FOREST?

Volunteers will be encouraged to participate in organized work projects or groups. Individuals and organizations should contact the North Country Resource Center in Lancaster and register their name, affiliation, and area of interest or expertise. Emphasis will be given to focused volunteer work days with logistical support from the department. Work areas for volunteers may include an appointed advisory committee, trail monitoring and maintenance, organized cleanup days, erosion control and restoration projects, natural interpretive programs, and specialized wildlife surveys to name a few. Department efforts will include maintaining a list of appropriate volunteer projects, providing safety and host training for volunteers, keeping a log of volunteer hours and accomplishments, and recognition of outstanding volunteer efforts.

DRAFT PLAN AVAILABLE

Copies of the (draft) Nash Stream Forest Management Plan are available for viewing at the following locations. Written comments on the Plan will be received **UNTIL FEBRUARY 28, 1995**.

- Bedford Public Library
- NH Technical College – Fortier Library and Berlin Public Library (Berlin)
- U.S. Forest Service – Ammonoosuc Ranger Station (Bethlehem)
- Merrimack County Ext. Office (Boscawen)
- Rockingham County Ext. Office (Brentwood)
- Fiske Free Library (Claremont)
- Colebrook Public Library
- NH Law Library and Concord Public Library (Concord)
- Carroll County Ext. Office (Conway)
- Strafford County Ext. Office (Dover)
- UNH – Diamond Library (Durham)
- Franklin Public Library
- U.S. Forest Service – Androscoggin Ranger Station (Gorham)
- Groveton Public Library
- Dartmouth College Library (Hanover)
- New England College – Danforth Library (Henniker)

- Keene State College – Mason Library and Cheshire County Ext. Office (Keene)
 - Belknap County Ext. Office and Laconia Public Library (Laconia)
 - Weeks Memorial Library and North Country Resource Center (Lancaster)
 - Littleton Public Library
 - Manchester City Library, St. Anselm College – Geisel Library, and NH College – Shapiro Library (Manchester)
 - Hillsborough County Extension Office (Milford)
 - Nashua Public Library
 - Sullivan County Ext. Office (Newport)
 - Peterborough Town Library
 - Plymouth State College – Lamson Library (Plymouth)
 - Portsmouth Public Library
 - Stark Public Library
 - North Country Office – NH State Library (Twin Mountain)
 - Grafton County Ext. Office (Woodsville)
- If you have comments or questions, please call the Division of Forests and Lands in Concord, NH (603) 271-3456, or write to:
- Department of Resources and Economic Development
ATTN: Nash Stream Forest
Box 1856
Concord, NH 03302-1856

DRED
Division of Forests and Lands
P.O. Box 1856
Concord, NH 03302-1856

HOW THE MANAGEMENT PLAN ADDRESSES PUBLIC CONCERNS

Two earlier public listening sessions were held in Groveton and Concord. The key points which emerged from these public sessions were:

- Maintaining local influence;
- Keeping the Nash Stream Forest tract undeveloped;
- Eliminating the gravel mining rights of Rancourt Associates;
- Providing for multiple recreation uses;
- Restoring tax yield to local towns; and
- Stressing sound forestry management practices.

This input was factored into the development of a "Vision" statement, and Management Goals and Objectives for the Nash Stream Forest's Management Plan.

Following are some examples which show how specific concerns raised at these listening sessions were addressed and implemented in the draft Management Plan. These are just two of many examples showing how public concerns have been integrated into the Management Plan.

EXAMPLE #1

PUBLIC COMMENT: *"More local input into Forest (Tract) Management."*

MANAGEMENT PLAN RESPONSE: "A Citizen Advisory Group will be appointed and scheduled to meet regularly to serve as a focused source of public input and assistance. Public notification will be made for significant proposed management activities such as timber harvests, major recreation developments, and emergency closures. Local municipalities will be notified of any actions within its boundaries that directly affects that municipality."

EXAMPLE #2

PUBLIC COMMENT: *"Maintain and protect existing roads; no new roads or trails."*

MANAGEMENT PLAN RESPONSE: "The network of existing roads will be maintained. No new permanent roads are planned. Traditional public access by conventional motor vehicle will be continued on the Main Road and Little Bog (Fourteen and a Half) Road. All other interior roads will be gated and maintained for controlled access in order to provide for public safety and prudent resource utilization and protection."

Additional public input is being sought through written comments on the draft Nash Stream Forest Management Plan. These additional comments will be factored into the final Management Plan to be completed this winter.

February 14, 2022

Dear Forest Supervisor Ibarguen,

in response to a recent query about USFS responsibilities as an easement holder for Nash Stream State Forest, you stated:

“The United States’ role is defined in Section III-D of the conservation easement deed. Allowing for administration of the terms and conditions set forth in the easement, the United States can only evaluate a proposal’s consistency with those terms of the easement. In this case we do not have a role in the development or management of ATV trails on the lands covered by the easement; as such, we also do not have a role in determining whether the ‘Forest Service’ should or should not have been checked off on the State’s trail proposal form.”

Paragraph III-D of the Nash Stream State Forest Easement states:

D. The Forest Service shall administer this easement on behalf of the United States. The United States has an affirmative right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement which is not reserved by the State. The Forest Supervisor, White Mountain National Forest, shall administer this easement subject to such delegations of authority as may be forthcoming from time to time by the Secretary of Agriculture, or his subordinate officials. The Forest Service shall have the right to enter upon the easement area at any time for purposes of administration of this easement. Any Forest Service concurrences required under this easement shall be in writing and may be subject to such terms and conditions as the Forest Service may prescribe.

"The United States has an affirmative right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement which is not reserved by the State."

ATV use was not reserved by the State. Snowmobiles are not ATVs. DNCR acknowledges this when it states that it assists in maintenance of “6,800 miles of snowmobile trail and over 700 miles of wheeled OHRV trails”. A snowmobile is now technically defined as an “Over Snow Vehicle (OSV.)

The Ropes and Gray Memorandum states: "The Opinion, citing the Easement Deed provisions quoted above, posits that the “mention of snowmobile trails indicates that motorized use of trails is permitted. Thus, because both accommodate motorized vehicles, a reasonable interpretation would be that snowmobile trails being of the same kind, class or nature as ATV trails could be regulated by the State.”² This conclusion is inconsistent with my research of relevant New Hampshire law. New Hampshire law clearly distinguishes among types of motorized vehicles, including distinctly separating snowmobiles from ATVs by definition in Chapter 215-A and Chapter 215-C of Title XVIII of the Revised Statutes Annotated of the State of New Hampshire.³ Further, snowmobiles are expressly excluded from the definition of OHRV⁴ and are regulated pursuant to N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-C whereas ATVs and other OHRVs are regulated pursuant to N.H. Rev. Stat. § 215-A."

If the Forest Service asserts that the Nash Stream Easement permits ATV use; “The United States has an affirmative right to manage any resource or land use acquired by this easement which is not reserved

by the state. The Forest Supervisor, WMNF, shall administer this easement...Any Forest Service concurrences required under this easement shall be in writing..."

Tom Wagner, former WMNF employee, when queried in 2001 about the legality of permitting ATV use in Nash Stream discussed II-C.1 and II-C.2 but was curiously silent on II-C, "Uses which are not expressly reserved by the State shall be prohibited by the State and deemed acquired by the United States. He stated:

"Under C.2, the conservation easement discusses public roads and public utilities and requires prior written approval of the Forest Service for the installation, operation, and maintenance of these facilities. In the case of this instrument, "public roads" does not include internal access roads and Forest Service involvement would only be required on roads that provide "through travel."

Permission to install, operate and maintain roads does not alter the non-permitted status of ATVs. And, all the ATV trails in Nash Stream provide "through travel:"

Phil Bryce, Director of Forest & Lands, touched on this in a 2001 letter to Representative Alger: "Are requests for connecting trails across state lands handled differently than self-contained trail systems?"

In 2002 the Nash Stream ATV Study Subcommittee made a verbal report to the Nash Stream Citizen's Committee. The ATV Study Committee rejected the "interior trail" and recommended a "connecting trail" providing the through travel that would require Forest Service permission.

Thus, the Forest Service is still left with the fact that the four ATV Trails in Nash Steam S.F. are all through trails, and lack the required "concurrence in writing", a concurrence DRED, and its successor DNCR, never requested.

In conclusion:

- Please state whether the USFS concurs with the Ropes and Gray memorandum.
- If the USFS does not concur with the Ropes and Gray Memorandum, please provide it's interpretation of the easement as it relates to ATV use, and specifically its interpretation of section II-C.
- If the USFS does not concur with the Ropes and Gray memorandum, please state whether the USFS denies responsibility for its concurrence (III-D) on the siting of through trails.

Sincerely,

Kris Pastoriza
Easton, N.H.
February 14, 2022
krispastoriza@gmail.com



1. Snowmobile Trail



2. Bordeau ATV Trail



3. *Westside ATV Trail*



Figure 1, 2 and 3- Shows the broken boards on the bridges and the eroding approaches.

4. *Kelsey Notch ATV Trail*





Figure 6 and 7- Depicts the washout along the trail.

5. *Kelsey Notch ATV Trail*

1. *Snowmobile trail, Stark, N.H. 2021*
2. *Bordeau Trail, July, 2019; DF&L files*
3. *Westside Trail, July, 2019; DF&L files*
4. [Kelsey Notch Monitoring Report 2018.](#)
5. *Kelsey Notch Monitoring Report 2018*



August 20, 2020

Mr. Jared Chicoine, Chair
New Hampshire Council on Resources and Development
c/o New Hampshire Office of Strategic Initiatives
107 Pleasant Street, Johnson Hall, 3rd Floor
Concord, NH 03301

Dear Mr. Chicoine:

Thank you for this opportunity to express our continued opposition to the operation of the Kelsey Notch ATV Trail in Nash Stream State Forest. As you recall, in 2016 the undersigned organizations first raised concerns regarding the process used to establish this trail.

We argued in our May 5, 2016 letter to CORD that the Nash Stream management plan in effect at that time explicitly authorized only the West Side Trail, and also explicitly prohibited any additional ATV trails of any kind. The amendment to the 2002 Management Plan clearly stated this prohibition:

*Beginning in the summer of 2002, about 7.6 miles of trail are now available for ATV travel utilizing the Bordeaux Trail, the West Side Road, and the Andritz Trail. This is a pass through trail set up as a pilot for 3 to 5 years beginning the summer of 2002. **No other roads or trails are open to ATV's on the property.**" (page 50)(emphasis added)*

We appreciate the actions CORD took in its December 14, 2016 Findings. Those steps both articulated CORD's responsibilities for the oversight of LCIP-acquired properties and highlighted the importance of properly following applicable state law. Specifically, CORD found that "the trail must comply with the requirements of RSA 215-A and all other applicable ATV/UTV environmental laws and regulations."

RSA 215-A:42 and 43 establishes the evaluation process for ATV trails on public lands. We have been provided an undated analysis for the Kelsey Notch ATV/UTV Trail conducted by the Department of Natural and Cultural Resources (DNCR) for the requirements enumerated in RSA

215-A: 42 and 43. In reviewing the document, we have identified several areas that raise questions about the environmental impacts of the trail.

First, and perhaps most important, the process outlined in statute was designed to be conducted on a proposed trail PRIOR to construction in order to site the trail with the least possible environmental impact to state lands. In the case of the Kelsey Notch Trail, there is no evidence that such a coarse and fine filter analysis was conducted as required by statute prior to the establishment of the trail. Rather, the analysis we received was conducted after the trail was already constructed and being used for years by ATV riders. The fact that the trail already existed, in violation of the statute, should not mean that the standards set forth in RSA 215-A:43 should be lowered, amended, dismissed or in any way altered to benefit the trail remaining open and operational. The Kelsey Notch Trail should be held to the same legal standard as any other proposed trail.

RSA 215-A:43, II (g) asks if the “proposal is reasonably compatible with existing uses.” In the comments from DNCR, they only reference snowmobile use on the trail in winter and limited summertime trail use. While additional use of the trail is interesting, we are not sure that is what the Legislature meant by the question. There are many existing uses of the Nash Stream Forest that are not articulated in the comments – including the trails compatibility with hiking, wildlife viewing, scientific research, and fishing to name a few. The only existing use referenced in the comments is hunting, and the analysis finds that “conflict during hunting season is anticipated to be limited as ATV use decreases after Labor Day.” While we do not necessarily dispute this general claim, no documentation or data on this point- or any of the other legitimate existing uses has been made available. We believe that the comments provided do not adequately address the impacts of the trail with existing uses as it currently exists, as well as with anticipated future ATV traffic

RSA 215-A:43, II (k) requires that the proposed trail layout minimizes further fragmentation of blocks of forestland by locating trails on areas with existing development whenever possible. In the comments, it is stated that in the opinion of a Fish and Game biologist that “should traffic become heavier on the trail in the future, it might preclude some animals from crossing or denning near the trail and could potentially cause avoidance by some wildlife species in the area.” It would be important to understand the current and projected usage of the trail, and the impacts on wildlife of the increased level of traffic – and how that increase would impact existing uses of the property as discussed in the preceding paragraph.

There are additional requirements in RSA 215-A:43, II that the comments do not fully or adequately address including : (n) states that “the proposed trail avoids areas having soil types classified as important forest soil group IIA or IIB as defined and mapped by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, unless there is an existing soil condition or surface roadway that can be used to reduce adverse environmental impacts; (o) the proposed trail is not within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark of first and second order streams, 330 feet of third order streams, and 600 feet of fourth order and higher streams, except for purposes of stream crossing,

unless there is an existing soil condition or surface roadway that can be used to reduce adverse environmental impacts; (q) The proposed trail is not within 200 feet of any water body, forested or non-forested wetland, or vernal pool, unless there is an existing soil condition or surface roadway that can be used to reduce adverse environmental impacts.

In the comments for these requirements, DNCR responds that “the ATV trail was located on existing roadways as well as a snowmobile trail that was built the season prior.” However, it is not clear that the Kelsey Notch Trail meets the important environmental standards enumerated in the preceding paragraph. Further, while RSA 215-A:43 does allow that a “surface roadway” can be used “to reduce adverse environmental impacts,” an existing snowmobile trail does not meet that standard. An existing snowmobile trail is not a “surface roadway.” Furthermore, snowmobile trails are not subject to the analysis outlined in RSA 215-A, so it is unacceptable to rely on them as part of an ATV trail without conducting the required analysis for an ATV trail.

RSA 215-A: 43, II (u) requires the proposed trail avoid known locations of rare plants and exemplary natural communities, as specified on a site-specific basis by the natural heritage inventory. Again, the comments by DNCR suggest that disturbances are minimized because the trail was built on a new snowmobile trail – although there is no indication that such an analysis was conducted prior to the snowmobile trail being built, nor that the trail avoids such rare plants. In fact, the analysis itself notes that “an extensive inventory has not been completed.”

We are aware that the 2019 Kelsey Notch Trail Environmental Compliance Report stated the trail was in very good condition and that it appeared to be in compliance with expectations. But it is important to note that the report also stated, “The trail had just been re-shaped and graded from top to bottom, therefore the condition of the trail when we were there was very good.” In other words, the maintenance that occurred immediately prior to the site visit clearly addressed any erosion or other degradation issues prior to the site visit. It is also important to note that in his 2018 report, Lt. Mark W. Ober, Jr. District One Chief of the Fish and Game Department wrote, “I personally conducted a patrol of the Kelsey Notch Pilot Trail in September and found it to be extremely bumpy and eroded. I could find no obvious signs of off-trail use and with the condition of the trail concluded that conducting speed enforcement would not be justified.”

In addition to the fact that the Kelsey Notch Trail does not meet the requirements of RSA 215-A, we continue to have two additional overriding concerns. First, the fact the trail may currently be in good condition immediately following top to bottom maintenance does not negate our position that it should not have been established as a Pilot Trail under the previous management plan. As we noted, the management plan in effect in 2012 did not permit the creation of the trail.

Second, the State of New Hampshire lacks a comprehensive master plan for the ATV system in New Hampshire, especially in the North Country. With the continued marketing and popularity of Ride the Wilds, expanded trail infrastructure, and safety related issues associated with increased use, we believe the Department of Natural and Cultural Resources and the New Hampshire Department of Fish and Game should lead a comprehensive planning process to develop such a master plan. The state should allocate sufficient resources to complete this

comprehensive planning effort. Key goals should include 1) development of criteria needed to determine appropriate areas to build new trails; 2) identification of the resources necessary to maintain NH's ATV trail system and enforce the laws governing ATV use; and 3) documentation of ecologically-sensitive areas that conflict with ATV use.

To be clear, our organizations recognize the growth of OHRV use here over the last decade. We are not opposed to the use of ATV's for recreational purposes, nor are we opposed to the development of ATV trails on certain state lands. But, unfortunately, this growth has also presented the State and local communities with trail use management challenges.

Despite those challenges, an opportunity exists to balance the benefits of OHRV recreation with the concerns expressed by private property owners and others. Good planning, ongoing and effective communication, increased education of OHRV users, established avenues to resolve specific conflicts when they occur, and visible law enforcement, are all critical ingredients to a successful OHRV program in our state. Furthermore, if the increase in ATV use has provided new economic opportunities, the financial resources needed to achieve those goals should be available. However, that balance will be difficult to achieve if state agencies continue to allow the expansion of the ATV trail system without also having the capacity to manage it.

To summarize, the establishment of the Kelsey Notch Trail failed to follow both state law and the management plan for the Nash Stream State Forest. Because of those facts, coupled with the State's lack of capacity to maintain, manage and enforce the existing OHRV trail system, we would request that CORD close down this trail.

Thank you. We would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

Jim O'Brien

Director of External Affairs
The Nature Conservancy
jim_obrien@tnc.org

Susan Arnold

Vice President for Conservation
Appalachian Mountain Club
sarnold@outdoors.org

Matt Leahy

Public Policy Manager
Society for the Protection of NH Forests
mleahy@forestsociety.org



STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
DEPARTMENT of NATURAL and CULTURAL RESOURCES
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

172 Pembroke Road, Concord, New Hampshire 03301
Phone: 271-2411 Fax: 271-2629
TDD ACCESS: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Ms. Kris Pastoriza

(b)(6)

January 7, 2022

Dear Ms. Pastoriza:

Thank you for your letter of January 3 requesting a meeting of the Nash Stream Forest Citizens Committee (NSFCC), Division of Forests & Lands (DFL) and the Department of Natural & Cultural Resources (DNCR) Technical Team regarding concerns about Off-Highway Recreational Vehicles (OHRV) trails on the Forest.

The NSFCC is comprised of public members who represent recreational uses, environmental/ecological, conservation, forest management and other interests. The Committee serves in an advisory capacity to the state agencies responsible for managing Nash Stream Forest. In that role, Committee members are responsible for communicating with their respective constituents to bring ideas, concerns or opportunities for improvement to the attention of the state's resource managers.

The November 17, 2021 NSFCC meeting was the appropriate venue for any committee member to raise a concern and make a motion for action. At the conclusion of that meeting's formal agenda, ample time for public comment was provided; all of which was recorded in the meeting minutes.

Existing OHRV trails are monitored annually by N.H. State Park's Bureau of Trails, DFL and the N.H. Fish and Game (NHFG) staff. An annual report is filed with Council on Resources & Development (CORD), comprised of twelve state agencies "whose responsibilities include providing a forum for interagency communication and cooperation in assuring consistency with established policies relating to the environment, natural resources, and growth management issues." (www.nh.gov/osi/planning/programs/cord/) Any issues related to OHRV trails on Nash Stream are identified in these reports and have been addressed to the satisfaction of all state agency resource managers and members of CORD. Currently, the Bureau of Trails, DFL and NHFG staff are conducting a two-year field study granted by CORD to quantify site impacts of both Kelsey Notch and Westside OHRV trails.

The issue of the legality of OHRV use at Nash Stream has been well established and documented to CORD's satisfaction in past correspondence with the United States Forest Service (USFS), the easement holder of Nash Stream Forest. See *9-25-01 letter from USFS White Mountain NF Supervisor, Thomas Wagner and follow-up memo from Deputy Associate Regional Attorney, Gene Alan Erl.*

The directors and key staff of NHFG and DNCR are meeting next week and the concerns regarding compliance with RSA 215-A:42 will be discussed.

As you can see, a monitoring and review process is in place for OHRV trails on Nash Stream Forest. Once the two-year field study is concluded, the data will be compiled and presented to CORD. After reviewing the report, a consensus by the resource managers, the NSFCC, CORD and ultimately the DNCR Commissioner will determine the future of these trails.

The next meeting of the NSFCC will be in early November 2022 and will include a briefing of the trail monitoring efforts. At the end of the formal agenda, we can plan for additional time for public comment.

Thank you for bringing these concerns to our attention and for participating in the recent Nash Stream Forest Citizens Committee meeting. We look forward to continuing the dialogue with you and all those concerned about the management of this important and highly valued public forest.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sarah Stewart". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the name.

Sarah Stewart
Commissioner, DNCR

January 14, 2022

Commissioner Stewart,

Your letter of 1/8/22 contains a number of claims and misunderstandings which we feel compelled to address now. We are not willing to wait for whatever public meetings you decide to hold in the fall of this year after the destruction of another ATV/OHRV season occurs.

First, there is no recognition in your letter of the history of the creation of the Nash Stream Forest ("NSF"). We refer to the August 4, 1989 Easement Deed for the Nash Stream Forest, a copy of which is attached. Paragraph II C of the Easement Deed states that the State of New Hampshire reserved the right to preserve and manage certain specific uses in the NSF. It goes on to state, "Uses which are not expressly reserved by the State shall be prohibited by the State..." Nowhere in that Deed is there any mention of ATV, UTV or side by side motorized vehicle uses (hereinafter referred to as "ATV uses"). Such uses were not "expressly reserved." They are, therefore, prohibited. There is no room for exceptions or interpretation. ATV uses are prohibited. Period.

Your predecessors at the Department of Resources and Economic Development, NH Division of Forest and Lands ("DRED"), understood that language to mean exactly what it said. There could be no ATV recreational uses allowed in the Nash Stream Forest. In fact, that is exactly what they represented in writing to the people of New Hampshire when it published in November of 1994 its "Overview of the Nash Stream Forest," a copy of which is also attached. In the Overview at page 2, DRED specifically said that ATVs and Trail Bikes were not allowed in the Nash Stream Forest. Period.

The attorneys at the Boston law firm of Ropes & Gray understood this without difficulty after reading the Easement language. And they have advised the State of NH of their legal opinion on this matter in their memorandum of 2020 in support of the previously expressed position of the Appalachian Mountain Club of which you are well aware. For ease of reference we also attach a copy of the Ropes & Gray legal opinion.

Commissioner, why do you take a position that so misinterprets the Easement and so radically revises the clear and unequivocal representations and promises of your predecessors to the people of this State? Under the false construct that you and others have placed on the Easement and Overview are you not concerned that many will no longer trust the State to keep its promises? Are you not concerned that many will no longer trust the State to be a good steward and custodian of badly needed conservation easements? Are you willingly crushing good public policy to suit the whim of a minority of motorized recreational zealots?

As for the content of your letter, many of your other claims need response. You state that, "The NSFCC is comprised of public members who represent recreational uses, environmental/ecological, conservation, forest management and other interests." You may not realize that the list of NSFCC members posted on your site is out of date.

Second, "Traditional, dispersed, non-motorized recreationists" for whom the Nash Stream Forest was originally acquired, have no representation on the NSFCC. The 'Snowmobile clubs' designee Tim Emperor is the one who actually devised the 2021 Southern Connector route. He thus works with and for ATV interests. Third the so-called "Expertise in Recreation and Tourism" designee Bill Noons, is Director at Large of the NHOHVA (New Hampshire Off-Highway Vehicle Association). He owns Connolly Cabins and Campground in Stratford, New Hampshire and his daughter is trail master for the North Country ATV Club which maintains the illegally-existing Westside Trail in the Nash Stream Forest.

You state: "The November 17, 2021 NSFCC meeting was the appropriate venue for any committee member to raise a concern and make a motion for action. At the conclusion of that meeting's formal agenda, ample time for public comment was provided; all of which was recorded in the meeting minutes." At that meeting, Jamie Sayen raised several issues and the rest of the Nash Stream Forest Citizen's Committee ignored them, including the violation of RSA 215-A:42(b) and his motion to cease further agency work on Southern Connector until and unless landowner #14 changed his mind. That Jamie Sayen was unable to secure a second for his motion suggests the NSFCC does not wish to get in the way of the demands of ATV lobby. Perhaps if there had been a true representative of traditional, non-motorized recreation, there could have been a second, and further discussion.

Your claim that "Existing OHRV trails are monitored annually by N.H. State Park's Bureau of Trails, DFL and the N.H. Fish and Game (NHFG) staff" is incorrect. The illegal Kelsey Notch trail went un-monitored for the first four years of its "Trial" and monitoring was only instituted after CORD's December 2016 ruling forced the Bureau of Trails to comply with the (still in effect) 1995 NSF Management Plan directive to monitor management and uses of the NSF. No annual monitoring has ever been performed on the illegal Westside Trail.

You claim that "Any issues related to OHRV trails on Nash Stream are identified in these reports and have been addressed to the satisfaction of all state agency resource managers and members of CORD." But you ignore the fact that the Easement prohibits the State from permitting ATVs in the NSF to begin with. Aside from this obvious bar on ATVs, there hasn't been any monitoring of Westside, so there are no issues on the record that need to be addressed "to the satisfaction of agency resource managers and members of CORD." That the issues identified in reports on Kelsey Notch have not been addressed to the satisfaction of agency employees in the field is also clear in the documents.

You state: "Currently, the Bureau of Trails, DFL and NHFG staff are conducting a two-year field study granted by CORD to quantify site impacts of both Kelsey Notch and Westside OHRV trails." Again this ignores the ban on ATVs that was established over 30 years ago. You are now conducting this two-year study on behalf of the ATV Clubs' request for the Southern Connector despite its lack of necessary landowner permission and despite its violation of the terms of the Easement.

In contrast, repeated requests for the annual monitoring of forest management and other activities in the NSF that are required in the Management Plans, are denied because “We don’t have funding in our budget for monitoring.” But Fish & Game and Division of Forests and Lands staff time and budgets are available to do work on behalf of the ATV clubs’ endless demands for more ATV trails in Nash Stream Forest.

You state: “The issue of the legality of OHRV use at Nash Stream has been well established and documented to CORD’s satisfaction in past correspondence with the United States Forest Service (USFS), the easement holder of Nash Stream Forest. (See 9-25-01 letter from USFS White Mountain NF Supervisor, Thomas Wagner and follow-up memo from Deputy Associate Regional Attorney, Gene Alan Erl.)” That letter pertained to the Westside Trail only, and at the time Thomas Wagner of WMNF believed that the Westside Trail was internal and not a connector. More importantly and as pointed out in the attached Ropes & Gray legal memorandum, Mr. Wagner totally missed the language in the easement that made it clear that ATV uses would not be permitted because they were not “expressly reserved.” Even if you could overlook this explicit prohibition, the WMNF has not been consulted regarding either Kelsey Notch or the Southern Connector. If it has, please supply the documentation of the WMNF comments on the 2012-2013 Kelsey Notch and anything pertaining to the proposed Southern Connector since 2012.

You state: “The directors and key staff of NHFG and DNCR are meeting next week and the concerns regarding compliance with RSA 215-A:42 will be discussed.” There needs to be a public hearing on this topic, not a private discussion between the very agencies that have operated in violation of the Easement and the pertinent RSAs.

You wrote: “...a monitoring and review process is in place for OHRV trails on Nash Stream Forest.” Again, this claim is false as regards to the illegal Westside trail, the oldest, longest, and most environmentally damaging ATV trail in Nash Stream Forest. More importantly we want you to know that we claim a monitoring and review process is totally unwarranted since such uses are not permitted in the first place as clearly demonstrated in the Easement language itself.

You state: “Once the two-year field study is concluded, the data will be compiled and presented to CORD. After reviewing the report, a consensus by the resource managers, the NSFCC, CORD and ultimately the DNCR Commissioner will determine the future of these trails.” The Easement speaks to that issue and trails for ATVs are prohibited. Period. CORD has a legal duty to enforce the Easement language and shut down the operation of all recreational ATV activity in Nash Stream Forest. Neither CORD nor the DNCR Commissioner has any legal right to overrule or change the language of the Easement.

DNCR has ignored its monitoring responsibilities for over 25 years, and has operated in violation of RSA 215-A:42(b) since 2002. That it is now “monitoring” a small portion of the NSF that happens to be desired by the ATV lobby suggests that DNCR serves the motorized recreation lobby and has essentially shut out the general public that is concerned with the ecological welfare of Nash Stream Forest that DNCR is co-

responsible for safeguarding, and has relegated “traditional, low impact, dispersed recreation” to second-class status, or worse.

Public comment after the Committee has wrapped up its business for another calendar year and is already packing up to head home is easy to ignore. No one on the Citizens Committee responded to any of the public concerns raised by the public at the November 16, 2021 meeting. Members of the public have a right to address the CC and the Tech Team and DNCR officials, to ask questions, and to receive the courtesy of an honest answer. None of this happens at the CC meetings—unless the “public” is defined as the ATV Lobby.

Your letter failed to address the carbon footprint of ATVs. The climate crisis is even more acute than it was in 1988. NSF should be making important contributions to the mitigation of the climate emergency yet climate change isn’t even on the agenda of a NSFCC meeting. It was not even mentioned in the original draft revision of the management plan in 2017. The DNCR was shamed by public commenters into taking an extra six months to add a section on climate change. But it seems that under your administration, ATVs, one of the most-non-essential uses of fossil fuels that exists, will be given all the time and agency budget they need to complete their takeover of Nash Stream Forest. Is that the legacy by which you wish to be remembered?

You state: “Thank you for bringing these concerns to our attention and for participating in the recent Nash Stream Forest Citizens Committee meeting. We look forward to continuing the dialogue with you and all those concerned about the management of this important and highly valued public forest.”

Refusing to convene a meeting where the public is allowed to ask questions and receive real answers, is refusing to engage in dialogue, not “continuing the dialogue.”

Sincerely,

Kris Pastoriza, Easton, N.H.

Rick Audy, Shelburne, N.H.

Campbell McLaren, M.D., F.A.C.E.P., Easton, N.H.

Abby Evankow, Gorham, N.H.

Lucy Wyman, Lancaster, N.H.

Claudia Damon, Concord, N.H.

Marsha Clifford, Pittsburg, N.H.

Dick Harris, Colebrook, N.H.

Dave Evankow, Gorham, N.H.

Patti Stolte, Gorham, N.H.
Mark Primack, Berlin, N.H.
Dan Whittet, Berlin, N.H.
Michael Kellett, Executive Director, RESTORE: The North Woods, Concord, MA
Susan Percy, New Gloucester, ME
Field Rider, New Gloucester, ME
Margaret and Eric Jones, Trustees of the Legacy Forest Trust
Nancy DeCoursey, Jefferson, N.H.
Michael Phillips, Groveton, N.H.
Pat Kellogg, Littleton, N.H.
Daniel Clarke, Gorham, N.H.
Sarah Doucette, Whitefield, N.H.
David Van Houten, Bethlehem, N.H.
Jody Camille, Dummer, N.H.
Milton Camille, Dummer, N.H.
Bill Joyce, Stark, N.H.
Wayne Moynihan, Dummer, N.H.
Kim Votta, Lancaster, N.H.
Cam Bradshaw, Berlin, N.H.
Roger Doucette, Whitefield, N.H.
George Brown, Shelburne, N.H.
Howie Wemyss, Randolph, N.H.
Representative Judith Spang, Durham, N.H.
Stephanie Kelliher, Whitefield, NH
Beau Etter-Garrette, Whitefield, NH
Andrea Muller, Lancaster, NH

Jeremiah Macrae-Hawkins, Randolph, NH

Emily Fox, Berlin, NH

Seth Quarrier, Berlin, NH

January 3, 2022

To Commissioner Stewart and Director Hackley,

we request a meeting of the Nash Stream Forest Citizens' Committee, and the Department of Forests & Lands and Fish & Game Tech Team members; a meeting in which these groups are prepared to record and respond to the questions and concerns of the public regarding the serious problem of ATVs in Nash Stream State Forest.

RSA 215-A:42 has not been followed. Baseline studies were never done. Despite the fact that DF&L and F&G monitoring repeatedly shows damage, and despite pointed observations and communications to management from DF&L and F&G staff in the field, no ATV trails have been closed. No response has been made to AMC/SPNHF's legal memos disputing the legality of ATV use in Nash Stream. Invasives, likely brought by ATVs or ATV trail maintenance vehicles, have been treated with the carcinogenic glyphosate while the ATV trails remain open, increasing the risk of more invasives. ATVs contribute to global warming, which threatens Nash Stream State Forest.

The NSFCC November 2021 meeting was not the first time these problems were brought to the attention of DNCR/DF&L/BOT, CORD and the NSFCC.

DNCR and NSFCC appear to be taking the position that they can ignore the law and the state of the Forest.

This meeting should take place well before the ATV season.

Sincerely,

Kris Pastoriza, Easton, N.H.

Lucy Wyman, Lancaster, N.H.

Margaret and Eric Jones, Trustees of the [Legacy Forest Trust](#)

Cam Bradshaw, Berlin, N.H.

Abby Evankow, Gorham, N.H.

Monique Petrofsky, Stewartstown, N.H.

Nancy DeCoursey, Jefferson, N.H.

Claudia Damon, Concord, N.H.

Dick Harris, Colebrook, N.H.

Pat Kellogg, Littleton, N.H.

Rick Audy, Shelburne, N.H.

Michael Phillips, Groveton, N.H.

Dave Evankow, Gorham, N.H.

Michael Kellett, Executive Director, RESTORE: The North Woods, Concord, Massachusetts

Campbell McLaren, Easton, N.H.

Susan Percy, New Gloucester, ME

Field Rider, New Gloucester, ME

Gary Robertson, Gilford, N.H.

Will DeCoursey, Jefferson, N.H.

Nash Stream State Forest, Bordeaux ATV Trail



July 9th, 2019. (DF&L files)